

Request for Proposals Addendum 1 Revised RFP

NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTING ENGINEERING FIRMS

To all potential proposers:

Solicitation Number: S-268-23

I-95 Corridor Improvement Project from Exit 22 (US 17) to Exit 33.9 (US 17) in Jasper County

Please be advised that structural bridge plans are allowed to be released for procurement purposes under Section 30-4-40(a)(17) of the SC Code of Laws.

To request Bridge plans please complete the Bridge Plans Request Form provided in appendix A of Engineering Directive 18 located at this link <u>ED-18.pdf</u> (scdot.org) and submit to Mark Lorick at LorickME@scdot.org.



NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTING ENGINEERING FIRMS

Solicitation Number: S-268-23

I-95 Corridor Improvement Project from Exit 22 (US 17) to Exit 33.9 (US 17) in Jasper County

The **SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (SCDOT)** requests a letter of interest and a proposal containing qualifications from all interested consulting firms experienced in providing engineering services for the development and delivery of preliminary roadway and bridge plans, environmental studies and documentation, environmental permitting, right of way plans, and final construction plans for roadways and bridges, and associated design/coordination services for the Corridor improvement listed above.

Requested services include but are not limited to: project management, field surveys and pavement scanning, environmental studies and documentation, environmental permitting, traffic design, bridge design, structural design, roadway design, hydrology/hydraulic design, geotechnical services, hazardous materials survey, subsurface utility engineering, utility coordination, railroad coordination, development of preliminary/final right of way plans, right-of-way acquisition services, value engineering, development of preliminary/final construction plans, pavement marking and signing plans, constructability review, construction phase services, engineer's estimate/project specific special provisions and other related duties deemed necessary. SCDOT intends to select and negotiate a contract with one consultant team for development of the project. The project will be negotiated and contracted through two phases with the consultant team. The first phase will include all efforts needed to successfully acquire the appropriate NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) documents and complete right-of-way plans. The second phase will include all efforts needed to develop the projects through construction. The project team should be capable of providing all services outlined above.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise goal is established as **11% percent** and will be administered in accordance with SECTION I. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS.

Whether or not there is a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal on this contract, proposer is strongly encouraged to obtain the maximum amount of DBE participation feasible on the contract. The selected consultant will be required to report all DBE participation through the DBE Quarterly Report required in the supplemental specification.

RFP information associated with this solicitation is located at the following link:

http://info2.scdot.org/professionalserv/Pages/Consultants-Professional-Services.aspx#tabs-5

For questions, please contact the SCDOT Contracting Officer, Wendy Hollingsworth at (803) 737-0746 or via email at hollingswg@scdot.org. Electronic Submissions are due no later than 2:00 PM, April 25, 2023.

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT SERVICES REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

| AGENCY | South Carolina Department Of Transportation (SCDOT) |
|------------------------|---|
| DESCRIPTION: | I-95 Corridor Improvement Project from Exit 22 (US 17) to Exit 33.9 (US 17) in Jasper County |
| SOLICITATION NUMBER | S-268-23 |
| ADVERTISEMENT DATE | March 20, 2023 |
| CONTRACTS OFFICER (CO) | Wendy Hollingsworth (803) 737-0746 |
| SUBMIT YOUR RFP TO: | All electronic proposal submissions and the letter of interest should be submitted via ProjectWise electronic submittal system no later than 2:00 PM (EST) , April 25 , 2023 . No proposals shall be accepted after the date and time specified. |

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

- A. PURPOSE OF REQUEST
- B. SCOPE OF SERVICE
- C. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT
- D. SUBMITTAL FORMAT
- E. PROPOSAL CONTENT
- F. SELECTION CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS
- G. FINAL SELECTION AND NOTIFICATION
- H. TIE BREAKER
- I. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS
- J. APPENDIX

A. PURPOSE OF REQUEST:

The SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (SCDOT) requests a letter of interest and a proposal containing qualifications from all interested consulting firms experienced in providing engineering services for the development and delivery of preliminary roadway and bridge plans, environmental studies and documentation, environmental permitting, right of way plans, and final construction plans for roadways and bridges, and associated design/coordination services for the Corridor improvement listed above.

Requested services include but are not limited to: project management, field surveys and pavement scanning, environmental studies and documentation, environmental permitting, traffic design, bridge design, structural design, roadway design, hydrology/hydraulic design, geotechnical services, hazardous materials survey, subsurface utility engineering, utility coordination, railroad coordination, development of preliminary/final right of way plans, right-of-way acquisition services, value engineering, development of preliminary/final construction plans, pavement marking and signing plans, constructability review,

construction phase services, engineer's estimate/project specific special provisions and other related duties deemed necessary. SCDOT intends to select and negotiate a contract with one consultant team for development of the project. The project will be negotiated and contracted through two phases with the consultant team. The first phase will include all efforts needed to successfully acquire the appropriate NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) documents and complete right-of-way plans. The second phase will include all efforts needed to develop the projects through construction. The project team should be capable of providing all services outlined above.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise goal is established as 11% percent and will be administered in accordance with SECTION I. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS.

Whether or not there is a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal on this contract, proposer is strongly encouraged to obtain the maximum amount of DBE participation feasible on the contract. The selected consultant will be required to report all DBE participation through the DBE Quarterly Report required in the supplemental specification.

SCDOT will use a two-tier evaluation process to select a consultant with which to execute a contract for this Project. The object of the two-tier process is to promote fair and open competition and to have objective scoring of each proposer's active workload. The two-tier process consists of the evaluation of the technical criteria of the RFP and a numerical score of the proposer's active workload. The Selection Committee will review responsive, responsible proposals and will score them based on the technical criteria contained within the RFP. The Chief of Professional Services, or designee, will compute a Weighted Workload Score independently of the evaluation committee based upon the firm's active workload in Category A. Category A generally consist of preconstruction activities needed for the feasibility, design, permitting or construction of a project. Examples include, but not limited to: Roadway Design, Bridge Design, Environmental Permitting, Safety Audits, Hydraulic Analysis and Traffic Design. The Weighted Workload Score will then be integrated with the technical score from the Selection Committee and combined for a total score of the firm's proposal.

For purposes of this solicitation, "Workload" is defined as the dollar amount of active executed agreements (basic, contract modifications, work orders, task orders, and small purchase) between a consultant and SCDOT, minus the amounts already invoiced. It will also include those amounts under negotiation, exclusive of those that are suspended. This Workload total will be used to determine an individual Workload Score for the consultant and an individual Workload Score for each subcontractor included in the proposal. Workload will be locked in at close of business on the date of advertisement.

Workload Scores are expressed as a value between 1 - 10 based on the workload as defined above. The table below shows the Workload Balance Values and the corresponding scores.

| Workload Balance Value | Score |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Above \$25,000,000 | 1 |
| \$22,000,001 - \$25,000,000 | 2 |
| \$19,000,001 - \$22,000,000 | 3 |
| \$16,000,001 - \$19,000,000 | 4 |
| \$13,000,001 - \$16,000,000 | 5 |
| \$10,000,001 - \$13,000,000 | 6 |
| \$7,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | 7 |
| \$4,000,001 - \$7,000,000 | 8 |
| \$1,000,001 - \$4,000,000 | 9 |
| \$0-\$1,000,000 | 10 |

The individual Workload Scores calculated for the prime consultant and each subcontractor included in the proposal will be used to determine the Weighted Workload Score. The weight factors come from the percentage of work allocated to the consultant and each subcontractor in the proposal. The individual Workload Scores will be multiplied by the appropriate weight factors, and the resulting numbers will be added to provide the Weighted Workload Score. Please see the following table for examples.

| 1 | Non-Weighted | d Method | | Wei | ghted Metho | <u>d</u> | |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Proposal 1 | Contract Percentage | Workload Score | Workload Score Used | Proposal 1 | Contract Percentage | Workload Score | Workload Score Used |
| Prime | 40% | 10 | 10.0 | Prime | 40% | 10 | 4.0 |
| Subcontractor 1 | 20% | 1 | 0.0 | Subcontractor 1 | 20% | 1 | 0.2 |
| Subcontractor 2 | 20% | 2 | 0.0 | Subcontractor 2 | 20% | 2 | 0.4 |
| Subcontractor 3 | 20% | 2 | 0.0 | Subcontractor 3 | 20% | 2 | 0.4 |
| Score Used | | | 10.0 | Score Used | | | 5.0 |
| Proposal 2 | Contract Percentage | Workload Score | Workload Score Used | Proposal 2 | Contract Percentage | Workload Score | Workload Score Used |
| Prime | 50% | 1 | 1.0 | Prime | 50% | 1 | 0.5 |
| Subcontractor 1 | 20% | 9 | 0.0 | Subcontractor 1 | 20% | 9 | 1.8 |
| Subcontractor 2 | 20% | 9 | 0.0 | Subcontractor 2 | 20% | 9 | 1.8 |
| Subcontractor 3 | 10% | 9 | 0.0 | Subcontractor 3 | 10% | 9 | 0.9 |
| Score Used | | | 1.0 | Score Used | | | 5.0 |

Once the Selection Committee finalizes its evaluation, the scores are cast in the electronic score sheet. The weighted workload score is entered in the digital score sheet to render the final score for each proposal. The CO will recommend the three (3) top-ranking firms in order to the Chief Procurement Officer (CPO). The CPO will have final approval. The determination regarding how many Proposers to recommend is not subject to review or protest.

Cost is NOT a factor in the ranking of consultants to provide services herein. DO NOT include any reference to consultant costs in the RFP response. Any RFP response with any discussion of cost will be disqualified. Consultants are advised that this evaluation and selection process is a competition and not simply a prequalification. Note that the method of payment for this contract will be a cost plus fixed fee, specific rates of compensation/unit rates with a contract maximum, or lump sum.

B. SCOPE OF SERVICE:

The South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) proposes to widen Interstate 95 from Exit 22 (US 17) to Exit 33.9 (US 17) in Jasper County. This will be a phased project.

Engineering services may include but are not limited to:

1. TASK 1 - PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

- Project Management
- Project Risk Management
- Schedule
- Design Review Coordination
- · Opinions of Probable Cost
- Progress Reporting and Invoicing

2. TASK 2 - FIELD SURVEYS

- Project Survey Control
- Best Fit Existing Roadway Alignment (ERA)
- Courthouse Research

- Field Survey Detectable Property Monuments
- Property Strip Map
- Cross Sections
- Planimetric (2D) Surveys
- Drainage Feature Surveys
- Bathymetric Survey of Rivers
- Locate Existing Storm Drainage Structures
- New and Existing Right-of-Way Staking
- Locate Geotechnical Bore Holes
- Supplemental Bridge Survey
- · Field Survey Railroad Corridor
- Traffic Control
- · Aerial & LiDAR Mapping of Project corridor
- Mobile LiDAR mapping of interstate within project limits

3. TASK - PIPE AND BOX CULVERT INSPECTION

- Box Culvert Video Inspection
- Pipe Video Inspection
- Barrel Assessment
- Culvert Inventory
- Inspection Report

4. TASK 4 - TRAFFIC STUDIES AND MODELING

- Field Survey
- Traffic Data Collection
- Preliminary Workzone Traffic Control Recommendations
- Traffic Analysis
- Conceptual Interstate Signing Plan
- Interchange Modification Report

5. TASK 5 - ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING

- Field Surveys
- Letter of Intent
- Perform Jurisdictional Determination
- Environmental Analysis and Review
- Public Involvement
- Permit Acquisition

6. TASK 6 - ROADWAY DESIGN

- Conceptual Roadway Development
- Prepare Design Criteria Report
- Best Fit Alignment for Mainline
- Develop Interchange Alternatives
- Develop Preliminary Roadway Plans
- Develop Right-of-Way Plans

7. TASK 7 - BRIDGE AND ROADWAY STRUCTURES DESIGN

- Bridge Alternative Study
- Preliminary Bridge Plans
- Preliminary Seismic Design Summary Report
- Field Reviews
- Preliminary Roadway Structures

8. TASK 8 - HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC DESIGN

- Preliminary Roadway Drainage
- Preliminary Drainage Design
- FEMA Studies and Modeling
- Erosion and Sediment Control Design & Stormwater Management Plan (including NOI & NPDES Permits)
- ROW Roadway Hydrology and Hydraulic Design

9. TASK 9 - GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS

- Field Exploration
- Laboratory Testing
- Preliminary Road and Bridge Geotechnical Engineering Report
- Site Specific Response Analysis Report

10. TASK 10 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SURVEYS AD REPORTS

- Asbestos Assessment
- Lead-Based Paint Assessment

11. TASK 11 - PRELIMINARY UTILITY COORDINATION

- Early Utility Coordination
- Preliminary Utility Coordination
- Preliminary Utility Report

12. TASK 12 - SUE

- Provide SUE Recommendation
- Perform all designating/locating services

13. TASK 13 - VALUE ENGINEERING

• Value Engineering Presentation & Responses

14. TASK 14 - Railroad Coordination

- Obtain Railroad Right-of-Entry
- · Attend meetings with Railroad
- · Solicit Comments from the Railroad
- Respond to All review comments

15. TASK 15-RIGHT OF WAY MANAGEMENT

- Determine Ownership of parcels to secure access for survey purposes
- Evaluate Design Alternatives and discuss with property owners
- Evaluate Parcels for Potential Early Acquisition

16. TASK 16- PROJECT KICKOFF/COST & SCHEDULE RISK ANALYSIS

- Engineering Estimate and Budget Validation
- Cost and Schedule Risk Management

17. TASK 17- FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Schedule
- Project Cost
- · Project Funds, Financing Issues, Cash Flow
- P3 Assessment
- Risk and Response Strategies & Annual Update Cycle

18. TASK 18- PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Project Description, Purpose, Goals, Objectives and Metrics
- Project Procurement
- Project management Controls
- Project Communications Management
- Project Documentation & Reporting
- Management of the Project Management Plan
- Design Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC)
- Environmental Monitoring
- Traffic Management
- Project Public Information and Outreach

19. TASK 19 - DESIGN ADJUSTMENT

· Additional effort beyond scope

SIA Reports Next Page

Page 1 of 1

| | NVENTURY AND APPRAISAL REPORT |
|---|---|
| IDENTIFICATION — | T |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 76.7 |
| (8) Structure Number # 0002720001700800 Asset ID 4825 | Functionally Obsolete = YES |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 121000170 | Structurally Deficient = NO |
| (2)State Highway Department District 6 | CLASSIFICATION — Code - |
| (3)County Code 53 (4) Place Code | (112) NBIS Bridge Length - YES |
| (6)Features Intersected I-95 | (104)Highway System - NOT NHS 0 |
| (7)Facility Carried US 17 | (26) Functional System - URBAN-MAJOR-COLLECTOR 15 |
| (9)Location 1MI N OF RIDGELAND | (100) Strahnet Highway - NOT STRAH HWY 0 |
| (11)Milepoint 30.158 | (101)Parallel Structure -NONE EXIST N |
| (12)Base Highway Network - NOT PART OF NET Code 0 | |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute | (102) Direction of Trainic - 1-WAT TRAFFIC |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 30 Minutes 3.30 Seconds (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 58 Minutes 17.69 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A 0 |
| (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (110) Designated National Network - NO 0 |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (20) Toll - ON FREE ROAD 3 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - STEEL | (21) Maintain - SCDOT 1 |
| | (22)Owner -SCDOT 1 |
| Type - STRINGER/MULTI-BEAM OR GIRD Code 302 (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE 5 |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 | CONDITION Code |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 4 | (58) Deck - SATISFACTORY 6 |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans 0 | (59)Superstructure -GOOD 7 |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (60) Substructure - GOOD 7 |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - N/A N |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE N |
| B)Type of Membrane - NONE Code 0 | |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 | LOAD RATING AND POSTING — Code - |
| , ,, | (31)Design Load -HS 20 5 |
| (27)Year Built 1968 | (64)Operating Rating - LRFR 66.74 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | (66) Inventory Rating - LRFR 51.52 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | (70)Bridge Posting -EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5 |
| Under -HIGHWAY, WITH OR WITHOUT Code 1 | (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - A |
| (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 4 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT |
| (29)Average Daily Traffic 3300 | |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109) Truck ADT 09 % | APPRAISAL — Code - |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN MIN CRITERIA 7 |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (68) Deck Geometry 2 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 84 FT | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal 3 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 84 F1 (49)Structure Length 327 FT | (71)Waterway Adequacy N |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment 8 |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 30 FT | (36) Traffic Safety Features 0111 |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 34.2 FT | (113) Scour Critical Bridges - NOT OVER WATERWAY N |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 24.6 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS — |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 | |
| (34) Skew 45 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO | , , , , , , |
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 18FT 0 IN | (76) Length of Structure Improvement 381.7 FT |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 30.0 FT | (94) Bridge Improvement Cost \$407,000.00 |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (95) Roadway Improvement Costs \$102,000.00 |
| | (96) Total Project Cost \$611,000.00 (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 2022 |
| | (114) Future AADT 4853 |
| () | (114) Future AADT 4003 (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 22.8FT | 1007 real of Future AAD1 2041 |
| NAVIGATION DATA | INSPECTIONS — |
| (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N | (90) Inspection Date 11/2021 (91) Frequency 24 Mo |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code | (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |
| (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance FT | A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) |
| (116)Vert-Lift Bridge Min Vert Clear FT | B) Underwater Insp NO Mo B) |
| (40)Navigation Horizontal Clearance FT | C) Other Special Insp NO Mo C) |
| | |



| 5 INUCTURE | INVENTURY AND APPRAISAL REPORT |
|--|--|
| IDENTIFICATION — | |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 92.1 |
| (8)Structure Number # 0002510009510100 Asset ID 5545 | Functionally Obsolete = NO |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 111000951 | Structurally Deficient = NO |
| (2)State Highway Department District 7 | _ |
| (3)County Code 49 (4) Place Code | CLASSIFICATION — Code |
| (6) Features Intersected S-37 | (112) NBIS Bridge Length - YES |
| (7) Facility Carried I-95 NB | (104)Highway System - NHS 1 |
| ., | (26) Functional System - RURAL-PRIN ART-INT 1 |
| (9)Location JASPER CO LN (11)Milepoint 33.904 | (100) Strahnet Highway - STRAH HWY 1 |
| (12)Base Highway Network - PART OF NET Code 1 | (101)Parallel Structure -RIGHT STRUCT R |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 001-00095000 | (102) Direction of Traffic - 1-WAY TRAFFIC 1 |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 38 Minutes 35.68 Seconds | |
| (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 53 Minutes 3.72 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A 0 |
| (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (110) Designated National Network - YES 1 |
| | (20)Toll -ON FREE ROAD 3 |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (21) Maintain - SCDOT 1 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - PRESTRESSED CON | (22)Owner -SCDOT 1 |
| Type - STRINGER/MULTI-BEAM OR GIRD Code 502 | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE 5 |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 | CONDITION — Code |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 4 | (58) Deck - SATISFACTORY 6 |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans 0 | (59)Superstructure -GOOD 7 |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (60) Substructure - GOOD 7 |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - N/A N |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE N |
| B)Type of Membrane - NONE Code 0 | LOAD RATING AND POSTING Code _ |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 | |
| AGE AND SERVICE | (31)Design Load -HS 20 5 |
| (27)Year Built 1989 | (64)Operating Rating - LRFR 65.77 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | (66)Inventory Rating -LRFR 43.42 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | (70)Bridge Posting -EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5 |
| Under -HIGHWAY-RAILROAD Code 4 | (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - A |
| (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 2 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT |
| (29) Average Daily Traffic 25650 | |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 21 % | APPRAISAL — Code - |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN MIN CRITERIA 7 |
| (/-/ | (68) Deck Geometry 7 |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal 7 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 68 FT | (71)Waterway Adequacy N |
| (49)Structure Length 264 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment 8 |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT | (36) Traffic Safety Features 001N |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.3 FT | (113) Scour Critical Bridges - NOT OVER WATERWAY N |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 41.9 FT | 1, COLOR DINGES NOT OVER WATERWAT |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS — |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 | (75) Type of Work - Code |
| (34)Skew 35 Deg (35)Struture Flared NO | (76) Length of Structure Improvement 264.0 FT |
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN | (94) Bridge Improvement Cost \$0.00 |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT | (95) Roadway Improvement Costs \$0.00 |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (96) Total Project Cost \$0.00 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22FT 11 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 2022 |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 18.1FT | (114) Future AADT 44888 |
| | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 21.8FT | 1, real of rature AAD1 |
| NAVIGATION DATA | INSPECTIONS — |
| (38) Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N | (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 Mo |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code | (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |
| (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance FT | A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) |
| (116)Vert-Lift Bridge Min Vert Clear FT | B) Underwater Insp NO Mo B) |
| I | , |
| (40)Navigation Horizontal Clearance FT | C) Other Special Insp NO Mo C) |



Page 1 of 1

| (S)County Code 49 (4) Place Code (5)Features intersected S-37 (7)Facility Carried 195 SB (9)Location JASPER COLN (19)Highway System NHS (26)Functional System - RURAL-PRIN ART-INT (190)Strahnet Highway - STRAH HWY (100)Strahnet Highway Structure - LET STRAH HWY (100)Strahnet Highway - STRAH HWY (100)Strahnet Highway Structure - LET STRAH HWY (100)Strahnet Highway Structure - LET STRAH HWY (100)Strahnet Highway Structure - LET STRAH HWY (100)Stra | SINUCIURE SINUCIURE | INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT |
|--|--|---|
| (g) Structure Number # 000251000950100 | IDENTIFICATION — | |
| (B) Structure Number # 0002510006503100 | (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 45- | Sufficiency Pating - 02 1 |
| (g) Inventory Route (on/Under) | | |
| | 1-7 | Tanonany observe |
| (3)County Code | (-) | 7 |
| (S)Features Intersected S-37 | | CLASSIFICATION — Code |
| (1) | | (112) NBIS Bridge Length - YES |
| (28) Location JASPER CO LN (11) Milepoint 33,905 (12) Base Highway Network - PART OF NET Code 1 (13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute (10) Description Color Col | | (104)Highway System - NHS 1 |
| (11) Milepoint | | (26) Functional System - RURAL-PRIN ART-INT 1 |
| (12)Base Highway Network - PART OF NET | | (100) Strahnet Highway - STRAH HWY 1 |
| (19] LRS Inventory Route & Subroute (102) Direction of Traffic -1-WAY TRAFFIC (103) Temporary Structure - (1 | | |
| (191) Laittude 32 Degrees 53 Minutes 3.4.87 Seconds (17) Longitude 80 Degrees 53 Minutes 3.4.87 Seconds (18) Longitude 80 | | |
| 17]Longitude | | (102) Direction of Trainic - 1-WAT TRAFFIC |
| (39)Border Bridge Structure No. # (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # (190)Border Bridge Structure No. # (20)Border Bridge Structure No. # (20)Border Bridge Structure No. # (20)Border Bridge Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - PRESTRESSED CON Type - STRINGERMULT-BEAM OR GIRD Code 502 (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit (46)Number of Approach Spans (46)Number of Approach Spans (47)Poech Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 (109)Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 0 CType of Deck Protective System: AType of Membrane - NONE Code 0 CType of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 CType of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 CType of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 CType of Service On - HIGHWAY Under -HIGHWAY-RAILROAD Code 4 (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 2 (29)Average Daily Traffic 2000 (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 21 % (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI (49)Structure Length 1 MI (49)Structure Length 1 MI (49)Structure Length 1 MI (49)Bypass, Detour Length 2000 (100)Bypass, Detour Length 200 (100)Bypass, Detour Length 2000 (100)Bypass, Detour Length 2000 | | (100) temporary or actual |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | . , . | (105) rederal Lands Highways - N/A |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL | | (110) Designated National Network - YES 1 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - PRESTRESSED CON Type - STRINGER/MULTI-BEAM OR GIRD Code 502 | | (20) Toll - ON FREE ROAD 3 |
| Type - STRINGERMULTI-BEAM OR GIRD Code 502 | | (21) Maintain - SCDOT 1 |
| (44) Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A Type - OTHER OR N/A | | |
| (445)Number of Spans in Main Unit | | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE 5 |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit (46)Number of Approach Spans (017)Deck Structure 79P - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: (21)Type of Wearing Surface / MONO CONCRETE Code 1 (22)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 C(27)Year Built C(27) | | |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 (108)Wearing Surface Protective System: | Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 00 | |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC | (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit | |
| (61) Channel and Channel Protection - N/A | (46)Number of Approach Spans | |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE | (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code | (60) Substructure - SATISFACTORY 6 |
| B)Type of Membrane | | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - N/A N |
| B)Type of Membrane | A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE N |
| (27)Year Built 1909 (106)Year Reconstructed (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY Under -HIGHWAY-RAILROAD Code 4 (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 2 (29)Average Daily Traffic 25650 (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 21 % (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI GEOMETRIC DATA 68 FT (49)Structure Length 204 FT (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (52)Deck Width Out to Out 41,9 FT (52)Deck Width Out to Out 41,9 FT (52)Berd Roadway Width (WiShoulders) 35 FT (52)Berd Roadway Width (WiShoulders) 35 FT (53)Biridge Median - NONE Code 0 (34)Skew 35 Deg (35) Struture Flared (10)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (55)Min Lat Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22FT 2 IN (56)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left .0 PA (20) Code (111)Pier Protection - Code (111)Pier Protection - Code (127) Frequency 24 (111)Pier Protection - Code (14) Operating Rating - LRFR (66)Operating Rating - LRFR (66)Inventory Rating - LRFR (66 | |] |
| Carry Carr | C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code | , |
| (27)Year Built (106)Year Reconstructed (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY Under - HIGHWAY-RAILROAD Under - HIGHWAY-RAILROAD (29)Average Daily Traffic (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 2019(19)Bypass, Detour Length GEOMETRIC DATA (48)Length of Maximum Span (48)Length of Maximum Span (48)Length of Maximum Span (48)Length of Maximum Span (49)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (52)Deck Width Out to Out (32)Bridge Median - NONE (33)Bridge Median - NONE (34)Skew 35 Deg (35)Struture Flared (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (34)Skew 35 Deg (35)Struture Flared (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway (54) Bridge Improvement Cost (56)Min Lat Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (57) Vear of Future ADDT (58) Deptical Part of Structure Inspection: (59) Inspection Date (59) Inspection Date (59) Inspection Date (59) Inspection Date (50) Inspection Date (50) Inspection: (51) Bridge Posting - LRFR (50) Eurotrory Roated or Closed - Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT (57) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT (57) Structure Evaluation - EQUAL TO MIN CRITERIA (68) Deck Geometry (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal (71) Waterway Adequacy (72) Approach Roadway Alignment (36) Traffic Safety Features (36) Traffic Safety Features (36) Traffic Safety Features (36) Traffic Safety Features (37) Type of Work - Code (34) Structure Improvement (36) Traffic Safety Features (37) Type of Work - Code (34) Structure Improvement (38) Bridge Improvement Cost (39) Bridge Improv | A OF AND OFFICE | (31)Design Load -HS 20 5 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY Under -HIGHWAY-RAILROAD Under -HIGHWAY-RAILROAD (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 (29)Average Daily Traffic (30)Year of ADT (19)Bypass, Detour Length GEOMETRIC DATA (48)Length of Maximum Span (49)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (33)Bridge Median - NONE (34)Skew 35 Deg (35)Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (47)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (47)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (56)Min Lat Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (56)Maximum Span (56) FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (56)Min Lat Underclear NO WATERWAY (57) Frequency (58) Inventory Rating - LRFR (70)Bridge Posting - EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT (67) Structure Evaluation - EQUAL TO MIN CRITERIA (68) Deck Geometry (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal (71)Waterway Adequacy (72) Approach Roadway Alignment (36) Traffic Safety Features (75) Type of Work - (75) Type of Work - (75) Type of Work - (76) Length of Structure Improvement (75) Roadway Improvement Cost \$00 (90) Broadway Improvement Cost \$00 (90) Br | | (64)Operating Rating - LRFR 65.12 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY Under -HIGHWAY-RAILROAD Code 4 (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 2 (29)Average Daily Traffic (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 21 % (19)Bypass, Detour Length GEOMETRIC DATA GEOMETRIC DATA (48)Length of Maximum Span (48)Length of Maximum Span (48)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.3 FT (52)Deck Width Out to Out 41.9 FT (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35 FT (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 (34)Skew 35 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 20.9FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT (93)CFI Date (93)CFI Date (117)Prevalue (93)CFI Date (94)CFI | (2.7, 2 | (66) Inventory Rating - I PEP 42.77 |
| Under -HIGHWAY-RAILROAD | | |
| Case | | (40.0) (0 D 1 0) 1 |
| C29 Average Daily Traffic | | 1 |
| (30) Year of ADT 2021 (109) Truck ADT 21 % (19) Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI | | 1 |
| (19) Bypass, Detour Length GEOMETRIC DATA (48) Length of Maximum Span (48) Length of Maximum Span (49) Structure Length (50) Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (32) Approach Roadway Width Curb to Curb (32) Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (32) Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (34) Skew 35 Deg (35) Struture Flared (10) Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47) Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (54) Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22FT 2 IN (55) Min Lat Underclear Right Ref - HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (56) Min Lat Underclear Right Ref - HWY BENEATH 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT 24 (78) Length DATA (38) Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111) Pier Protection - (93) CFI Date | | |
| GEOMETRIC DATA (48)Length of Maximum Span (49)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (32)Approach Roadway Width Curb to Curb (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (33)Bridge Median - NONE (34)Skew 35 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT (98) Code (115) Year of Future AADT (98) Code (115) Year of Future AADT (98) Code (115) Year of Future AADT (99) Code (99) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | | |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span (49)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.3 FT (52)Deck Width Out to Out 41.9 FT (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35 FT (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 (34)Skew 35 Deg (35)Struture Flared (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 22FT 2 IN (56)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT (26) Code (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (99) Critical Features (93) CFI Date (93) Critical Features (93) CFI Date (93) Critical Features (93) CFI Date (93) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date (93) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date (93) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 M | |
| (49) Structure Length | GEOMETRIC DATA | |
| (49)Structure Length | (48)Length of Maximum Span 68 F7 | |
| (72) Approach Roadway Alignment (72) Approach Roadway Alignment (73) Approach Roadway Alignment (74) Approach Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.3 FT (75) Deck Width Out to Out 41.9 FT (36) Traffic Safety Features 00 Code (36) Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35 FT (37) Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35 FT (37) Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35 FT (38) Bridge Median - NONE Code (76) Length of Structure Improvement 264.0 (76) Length of Struc | | |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (52)Deck Width Out to Out (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (33)Bridge Median - NONE (34)Skew 35 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (55)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9FT (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (39) Sraffic Safety Features (36) Traffic Safety Features (113) Scour Critical Bridges - NOT OVER WATERWAY (75) Type of Work - Code (76) Length of Structure Improvement (34) Bridge Improvement Cost (94) Bridge Improvement Cost (95) Roadway Improvement Cost (95) Roadway Improvement Cost (95) Roadway Improvement Cost (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT | | |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out | (, | . (36) Traffic Safety Features 001N |
| 32 Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35 FT Code 0 (34)Skew 35 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22 FT 2 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9FT (114) Future AADT 115) Year of Future AADT (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111) Pier Protection - (93) CFI Date (94.0 | | 1(113) Secur Critical Bridges - NOT OVED WATEDWAY N |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE | | |
| (34) Skew 35 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10) Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47) Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (54) Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22 FT 2 IN (55) Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8 FT (144) Future AADT 114) Future AADT 444 | | THE SEE IIII HEVELIEU |
| (10) Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (34) Bridge Improvement Cost \$0 (47) Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (54) Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22 FT 2 IN (55) Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8 FT (56) Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9 FT (114) Future AADT 20.9 FT (115) Year of Future AADT (20.9 FT) Year of Future | . , . | (15) Type of Work |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22FT 2 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT 20.9FT (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111) Pier Protection - (93) CFI Date (93) CFI Date (94) Bridge improvement Cost 30 (95) Roadway Improvement Cost 30 (96) Total Project Cost (96) Total Project Cost (96) Total Project Cost (97) Year of Improvement Cost 30 (97) Year of Improvement Cost (97) Year of Improvement (97) Year of Improvement Cost (97) Year of Improvem | | (76) Length of Structure improvement 204.0 FT |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (36) Total Project Cost \$0 (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22 FT 2 IN (37) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 20 (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8 FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9 FT (115) Year of Future AADT 20 (115) Year of Future AADT (38) Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111) Pier Protection - (93) CFI Date | (, | (34) Bridge improvement Cost \$0.00 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22FT 2 IN (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref - HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT 446 (115) Year of Future AADT 20.9FT (115) Year of Future | | (00) Rodaway improvement costs |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8FT (114) Future AADT 448 (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT 20 NAVIGATION DATA INSPECTIONS INSPECTIONS (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date | (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (96) Total Project Cost \$0.00 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 20.9FT (115) Year of Future AADT 20.9FT NAVIGATION DATA INSPECTIONS (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date | (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - RXR BENEATH 22FT 2 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 2022 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left NAVIGATION DATA NAVIGATION DATA (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date | (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 19.8FT | (114) Future AADT 44888 |
| (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date | | MAE'N CE C CONT |
| (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (90) Inspection Date 03/2022 (91) Frequency 24 (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date | (, | |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | | |
| (, | | (,, |
| (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance FT A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) | (111)Pier Protection - Code | (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |
| | (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance F | A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) |
| (116)Vert-Lift Bridge Min Vert Clear FT B) Underwater Insp NO Mo B) | (116)Vert-Lift Bridge Min Vert Clear F | F B) Underwater Insp NO Mo B) |
| (40)Navigation Horizontal Clearance FT C) Other Special Insp NO Mo C) | | C) Other Special Insp NO Mo C) |

Page 1 of 1

| BRIDGE MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE | INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT |
|---|--|
| IDENTIFICATION — | |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 100.0 |
| (8)Structure Number # 0002720001700900 Asset ID 5961 | Functionally Obsolete = NO |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 121000171 | Structurally Deficient = NO |
| (2)State Highway Department District 6 | CLASSIFICATION — Code |
| (3)County Code 53 (4) Place Code | (112) NBIS Bridge Length - YES |
| (6) Features Intersected I-95/RAMP OFF I-95 | (104)Highway System - NHS |
| (7) Facility Carried US 17 | |
| (9)Location 1 MI W POCOTALIGO | (26) Functional System - RURAL-PRIN ART-OTH |
| (11)Milepoint 40.820 | (100) Strahnet Highway - NOT STRAH HWY |
| (12)Base Highway Network - PART OF NET Code 1 | (101)Parallel Structure - NONE EXIST N |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 00US00017000 | (102) Direction of Traffic -2-WAY TRAFFIC 2 |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 37 Minutes 53.48 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - |
| (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 52 Minutes 54.60 Seconds | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % | (110) Designated National Network - YES 1 |
| (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (20)Toll -ON FREE ROAD 3 |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (21) Maintain - SCDOT 1 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - STEEL | (22)Owner -SCDOT 1 |
| Type - STRINGER/MULTI-BEAM OR GIRD Code 302 | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE 5 |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 | CONDITION Code |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 3 | |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans 0 | (59)Superstructure - SATISFACTORY |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (60) Substructure - SATISFACTORY 6 |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - N/A |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE N |
| B)Type of Membrane - NONE Code 0 | LOAD RATING AND POSTING — Code |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 | (31)Design Load -HS 20+MOD 6 |
| AGE AND SERVICE | |
| (27)Year Built 1971 | (64)Operating Rating - LRFR 60.91 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | (66)Inventory Rating - LRFR 46.98 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | (70)Bridge Posting - EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5 |
| Under -HIGHWAY, WITH OR WITHOUT Code 1 | (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - A |
| (28) Lanes: On Structure = 4 Under Structure = 5 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT |
| (29) Average Daily Traffic 10700 | APPRAISAL — Code |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109) Truck ADT 22 % | |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 0 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - EQUAL TO MIN CRITERIA 6 |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (68) Deck Geometry 7 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 149 FT | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal |
| (49)Structure Length 374 FT | (71)Waterway Adequacy |
| (50) Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 66 FT | (36) Traffic Safety Features 0111 (113) Scour Critical Bridges - NOT OVER WATERWAY N |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 69.0 FT | (113) 30001 Chiddal Bridges - NOT OVER WATERWAY N |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 43.4 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS |
| (33)Bridge Median - CLOSED NO BARRIER Code 2 | (75) Type of Work - Code |
| (34)Skew 30 Deg (35)Struture Flared NO | (76) Length of Structure Improvement 374.0 FT |
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 23 FT 3 IN | (94) Bridge Improvement Cost \$0.00 |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 66.0 FT | (95) Roadway Improvement Costs \$0.00 |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (96) Total Project Cost \$0.00 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - HWY BENEATH 17FT 5 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 2022 |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 13.3FT | (114) Future AADT 18618 |
| | (114) Future AAD1 |
| | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 38.9FT | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 38.9FT NAVIGATION DATA | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 INSPECTIONS |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 36.9FT | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 11/2021 (91) Frequency 24 Mo |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 38.9FT NAVIGATION DATA Code (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code (111)Pier Protection - Code | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 11/2021 (91) Frequency 24 Mo (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 38.9FT NAVIGATION DATA (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N (111)Pier Protection - Code (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance FT | (115) Year of Future AADT |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 38.9FT NAVIGATION DATA Code (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code (111)Pier Protection - Code | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 11/2021 (91) Frequency 24 Mo (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |

Page 1 of 1

| BRIDGE MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE | INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT | |
|---|--|---------------|
| IDENTIFICATION — | T | |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 82.5 | |
| (8)Structure Number # 0002710009511100 Asset ID 6514 | Functionally Obsolete = NO | |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 111000951 | Structurally Deficient = NO | |
| (2)State Highway Department District 6 | CLASSIFICATION — (| Code - |
| (3)County Code 53 (4) Place Code | (112) NBIS Bridge Length - | YES |
| (6)Features Intersected COOSAWHATCHIE RIVER | (104)Highway System - NHS | 1 |
| (7)Facility Carried I-95 NB | (26) Functional System - RURAL-PRIN ART-INT | 1 |
| (9)Location @ COOSAWHATCHIE | | |
| (11)Milepoint 29.059 | (100) Strahnet Highway - STRAH HWY | |
| (12)Base Highway Network - PART OF NET Code 1 | (101)Parallel Structure - RIGHT STRUCT | R |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 001-00095000 | (102) Direction of Traffic - 1-WAY TRAFFIC | 1 |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 35 Minutes 17.88 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - | |
| (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 55 Minutes 24.82 Seconds | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A | 0 |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (110) Designated National Network - YES | 1 |
| | (20)Toll - ON FREE ROAD | 3 |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (21)Maintain -SCDOT | 1 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - CONCRETE Type - SLAB Code 101 | (22)Owner -SCDOT | 1 |
| Type - SLAB Code 101 (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE | 5 |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 | CONDITION | Code _ |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 10 | (58)Deck - SATISFACTORY | 6 |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans 0 | (59)Superstructure -SATISFACTORY | 6 |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (60) Substructure - FAIR | 5 |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - BNK PRT REPAIR | 7 |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE | N |
| B)Type of Membrane - NONE Code 0 | | |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 | | Code _ |
| AGE AND SERVICE | (31)Design Load -HS 20 | 5 |
| (27)Year Built 1974 | (64)Operating Rating - LRFR | 53.14 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | (, | 41.15 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | (70)Bridge Posting -EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS | 5 |
| Under -WATERWAY Code 5 | (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - | Α |
| (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 0 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT | |
| (29) Average Daily Traffic 28850 | | |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 19 % | | Code – |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY | 5 |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (68) Deck Geometry | 7 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 30 FT | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal | N |
| (49)Structure Length 300 FT | (71)Waterway Adequacy | 6 |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment | 8 |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.5 FT | (36) Traffic Safety Features | 0111 |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 42.8 FT | (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS | 5 |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 35.9 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS | |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 | (75) Type of Work - Code | |
| (34) Skew 0 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO | | 0.0 FT |
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN | | \$0.00 |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT | | \$0.00 |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | | \$0.00 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate | 2022 |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR .0FT | | 50488 |
| | (44E) V CFt AADT | 2041 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left | (115) Year of Future AADT | |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT | | |
| NAVIGATION DATA | INSPECTIONS | |
| NAVIGATION DATA (38) Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 | (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 2 | 24 M o |
| NAVIGATION DATA (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 (111)Pier Protection - Code | (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 2 (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | |
| NAVIGATION DATA (38)Navigation Control - NONE | INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 2 (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) | 2 |
| NAVIGATION DATA (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 (111)Pier Protection - Code | INSPECTIONS | |



| (I)State Name - SOUTH CAROLINA | IDENTIFICATION | |
|--|--|---|
| (g)Structure Number # 00027/1000811200 | | 4 Sufficiency Rating = 82.5 |
| (S) Inventory Route (On/Under) | (8)Structure Number # 0002710009511200 Asset ID 651 | _ |
| 2 State Highway Department District 6 (3 County Code 53 | (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 11100095 | |
| (3) Country Code 53 (4) Place Code (6) Features Intersected TULLIFINTY RIVER (7) Facility Carried (195 NB (9) Location 1M IN OF COOSAWHATCHIE (11) Milepoint 1M IN OF COOSAWHATCHIE (11) Milepoint 1M IN OF COOSAWHATCHIE (11) Milepoint 1M IN OF COOSAWHATCHIE (13) Latitude 32 Degrees 35 Minutes 50 98 Seconds (17) Longitude 30 Degrees 35 Minutes 50 98 Seconds (17) Longitude 30 Degrees 36 Minutes 50 98 Seconds (17) Longitude 80 Degrees 54 Minutes 8.87 Seconds (18) Border Bridge Structure No. # (10) Milepoint 1M IN OF COOKERTE (14) STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL COME (14) STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL OTHER ON NA Type - OTHER OR NA Code 101 (44) STRUCTURE TYPE Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR NA Type - OTHER OR NA Code (10) Milepoint 95 pans in Main Unit (45) Number of Approach Spans (10) Milepoint 1M IN OF CODE (10) Milepoint 95 pans in Main Unit (45) Number of Approach Spans (10) Milepoint 1M IN OF CODE (10) Milepoint | (2)State Highway Department District | 6 |
| (6)Features Intersected TULLIFINITY RIVER (7)Facility Carried A5 NB (9)Location 1MI N OF COOSAWHATCHIE (3)LSR Inventory Route & Subtroute (10)Light (10) (10)Light (10) (10)Light (10) (10)Light (| (3) County Code 53 (4) Place Code | |
| (7)Facility Carried | (6)Features Intersected TULLIFINNY RIVER | (112/11210 Eringe Eeinger |
| (29) Functional system - RURAL-PRIN ART-INT (190) Branch Highway - STRAH HWY (190) Branch Highway - NIA | | |
| (192 Base Highway Network - PART OF NET Code (193 LRS Inventory Route & Subroute Coll-00096000 (102) Direction of Traffic - 1-WAY TRAFFIC (193) Endership Code (103) Endership C | | |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute (10)Locoston (10)Latitude (13) Eresting (10)Latitude (13) Eresting (10)Latitude (13) Eresting (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute (13)Temporary Structure (13)Temporary Structure (13)Eresting (13)LRS Injuncture (13)LRS In | (11)Milepoint 30.54 | |
| (15] Laittude 32 Degrees 55 Minutes 50,98 Seconds (17) Longitude 80 Degrees 54 Minutes 8.87 Seconds (19) Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % (19) Border Bridge Structure No. # (20) Toll ON FREE ROAD (21) Toll ON FREE ROAD (22) Owner SCDOT (23) Owner SCDOT (23) Owner SCDOT (27) Owner SCDOT | | |
| (17)Longitude | , | |
| (39)Border Bridge Structure No. # (10)Designated National Network - YES (20)Toll - ON FREE ROAD (20) | | |
| (39)Border Bridge Structure No. # (170)Designate National Network - YES (29)Toil - ON FREE ROAD (21)Maintain - SCDOT (21)Maintain - SCDOT (21)Maintain - SCDOT (21)Maintain - SCDOT (22) Owner - SCDOT (22) Owner - SCDOT (23)Maintain | | (105) rederal Lands Highways - N/A |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - CONCRETE Type - SLAB | | (110) Designated National Network - YES 1 |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - CONCRETE Type - SLAB | | (20)Toll - ON FREE ROAD 3 |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | | |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | | |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A | 7,7 | 1 (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE 5 |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit (46)Number of Approach Spans (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC (201) Surface / Protective System: A) Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE A) Type of Membrane - NONE Code C) Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code C) Type of Membrane - NONE Code C) Type of Membrane - NONE Code C) Type of Membrane - NONE Code C) Type of Service - MAIS STRUCT (42) Type of Service On - HIGHWAY Under-WATERWAY (28) Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure - 0 (29) Average Daily Traffic (30) Year of ADT 2021 (109) Truck ADT 19 (48) Length of Maximum Span (49) Structure Length (50) Cubron Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (52) Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (33) Bridge Median - NONE Code (34) Structure Improvement Cost (34) Structure Improvement Cost (35) Structure Flared (36) Load Channel Protection - BNK PRT REPAIR (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE LOAD RATING AND POSTING — Code (64) Operating Rating - LRFR (66) Inventory Rating - LRFR (66) Inventory Rating - LRFR (66) Inventory Rating - LRFR (66) Operating Rating - LRFR (67) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT (67) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY (68) Deck Geometry (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal (77) Waterway Adequacy (72) Approach Roadway Alignment (36) Traffic Safety Features (37) Approach Roadway Alignment (38) Traffic Safety Features (39) Traffic Safety Features (39) Traffic Safety Features (39) Traffic Safety Features (39) Bridge Median - NONE (34) Since Vertical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (52) Deck Width Out to Out (34) Since Vertical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (55) Min Lat Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR (57) Type of Work - Code (58) Deck Geometry (59) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizon | | CONDITION |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans (107)Deck Structure Type -CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 (108)Wearing Surface Protective System: | on Ex or the | |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code C)Type of Membrane - NONE Code C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code C)Type of Membrane - NONE Code C)Type of Membrane - NONE Code C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code C)Type of Membrane - NONE Code C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code C)Type of Membrane - NONE Code C)Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT Code C)Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY C(68) Deck Geometry C(69) Deck Protection - BNE PRT REPAIR C(62) Culverts - NOT ABRODE - NORE C(70) Structure Cealual ABRODE - NORE CODE C)Titical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS | | . , |
| (108)Wearing Surface Protective System: AlType of Wearing Surface Protective System: AlType of Wearing Surface MONO CONCRETE Code 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | (00) |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE | | (/ |
| B)Type of Membrane | | . , |
| Code | | |
| AGE AND SERVICE 1974 197 | 7 21 | |
| (27)Year Built | ,,,, | |
| (106)Year Reconstructed (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY Under -WATERWAY Code 5 (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 0 (29)Average Daily Traffic (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 19 % (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI (67) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY (48)Length of Maximum Span 30 FT (49)Structure Length 1 80 FT (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 1 42.8 FT (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 30.7 FT (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code (34)Structure Flared (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (64)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT (156)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT (156)Min Lat Underclear Left .0 FT OR (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code (111)Pier Protection - Code (112)Pier Protection - (112)Pier Protec | | (64) Operating Rating - LRFR 53.14 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | (, | (66) Inventory Rating - LPEP 40.82 |
| Under -WATERWAY (29) Average Daily Traffic (30) Year of ADT (29) Average Daily Traffic (30) Year of ADT (20) Average Daily Traffic (30) Year of ADT (49) Structure Length GEOMETRIC DATA (48) Length of Maximum Span (49) Structure Length (50) Curb or Sidewalk: Left (51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (52) Deck Width Out to Out (33) Bridge Median - NONE (33) Bridge Median - NONE (34) Skew (35) Struture Flared (10) Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (36) Structure Flared (17) Material Right (37) Approach Roadway Midth (W/Shoulders) (38) Min Vert Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR (38) Navigation Control - NONE (38) Navigation Control - NONE (28) Average Daily Traffic (29) Average Daily Traffic (288 Navigation Control - NONE (28) Average Daily Traffic (28) Deck Geometry (69) Underclear Revaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY (68) Deck Geometry (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal (71) Approach Roadway Alignment (36) Traffic Safety Features (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (75) Type of Work - (76) Length of Structure Improvement (84) Bridge Improvement Cost (85) Roadway Improvement Cost (86) Total Project Cost (87) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY (68) Deck Geometry (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal (71) Approach Roadway Alignment (36) Traffic Safety Features (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (75) Type of Work - (76) Length of Structure Improvement (89) Bridge Improvement Cost (80) Bridge Improvement Cost (80) Traffic Safety Features (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (75) Type of Work - (76) Length of Structure Improvement (80) Traffic Safety Features (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (86) Traffic Safety Features (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (114) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS (115) S | | (70)Bridge Posting -EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5 |
| Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT Code | | 5 (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - A |
| Carrier Carr | The state of the s | |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 19 % (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI GEOMETRIC DATA | | 0 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span (49)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (52)Deck Width Out to Out (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (33)Bridge Median - NONE (33)Bridge Median - NONE (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway (99 FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (38)Navigation Control - NONE (204 Code (38)Navigation Control - NONE (204 Code (38)Navigation Control - NONE (28)Navigation Control - NONE (204 Code (38)Navigation Control - NONE (29) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) Frequency 24 M (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) Frequency 24 M (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) Frequency 24 M (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | | 6 APPRAISAL — Code - |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span (49)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (52)Approach Roadway Width Curb to Curb (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (33)Bridge Median - NONE (33)Bridge Median - NONE (34)Skew 0 Deg (35) Struture Flared (47)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (47)Bridge Median - NONE (47)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway (54)Min Vert Underclear Right Ref -NOT HWY OR RXR (55)Min Lat Underclear Left NOTE (56)Min Lat Underclear Left NOTE (56)Min Lat Underclear Left NOTE (56)Min Lat Underclear Left NOTE (58)Mavigation Control - NONE Code (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal (71)Waterway Adequacy (72) Approach Roadway Alignment (36) Traffic Safety Features (36) Traffic Safety Featur | | (67) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY 5 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span (49)Structure Length (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.5 FT (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 36.7 FT (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 36.7 FT (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 (34)Skew 0 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT (58)Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 (39) Struture Right Ref-NONE Code 0 (30) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 24 M (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date | GEOMETRIC DATA | (68) Deck Geometry 7 |
| (49)Structure Length | | T * * |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT Sight .0 FT Sight (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.5 FT Sight (52)Deck Width Out to Out 42.8 FT 39.5 FT 3 | , - , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (71)Waterway Adequacy 6 |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb | (, | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment 8 |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) (33)Bridge Median - NONE (34)Skew 0 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear (39)FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR (56)Min Lat Underclear Left (56)Min Lat Underclear Left NAVIGATION DATA (38)Navigation Control - NONE (42.8 FT (75) Type of Work - (76) Length of Structure Improvement (94) Bridge Improvement Cost (95) Roadway Improvement Costs (95) Roadway Improvement Cost Sol.0 (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT (116) Year of Future AADT (117) Year of Future AADT (118) Year of Future AADT (119) Year of Fut | | (36) Traffic Safety Features 011N |
| 32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 38.7 FT Code 0 (34)Skew 0 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (56)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR 0.65)Min Lat Underclear Left NAVIGATION DATA NAVIGATION DATA NAVIGATION DATA NAVIGATION DATA (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 24 M (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date (94)Bridge Improvement Cost (95)Roadway Improvement Cost (95) Total Project Cost (96) Total Project Cost (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (97) Year of Improvement Cost (97) Year of Improvement (97) Year of Improvement (97) Year of Impro | (, | 1(113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUD WITHIN LIMITS 5 |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE | | |
| (34) Skew | | The ose in the contract of |
| (10) Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN (47) Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (94) Bridge Improvement Cost \$0.0 (47) Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (95) Roadway Improvement Cost \$0.0 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99 FT 99 IN (64) Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0 FT 0 IN (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 200 (55) Min Lat Underclear Right Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR .0 FT | | (, .) |
| (47 Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN (96) Total Project Cost \$0.0 (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0.66)Min Lat Underclear Left 0.67 | | ,,g |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT (114) Future AADT 5048 | 1 | |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 202 (144) Future AADT 5048 (156) Min Lat Underclear Left 0FT 0 IN (156) Min Lat Underclear Left 0FT 0 IN (156) Min Lat Underclear Left 0FT 0 IN (156) War of Future AADT 094 (156) War of Future AADT 094 (156) War of Future AADT 096 (156) War of | | (co)riodandy improvement costs |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR .0FT (114) Future AADT 5048 (156)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT (115) Year of Future AADT 204 (115) Year of Future AADT (115) Year o | | (65) 15441115]651 5531 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT (115) Year of Future AADT 204 NAVIGATION DATA INSPECTIONS (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 24 M (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93)CFI Date | (-) | |
| NAVIGATION DATA Solution Control - NONE (38) Navigation Control - NONE Code (90) Inspection Date (7/2022 (91) Frequency 24 M (111) Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | | taget |
| (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 24 M (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | (/ | |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | | |
| (, | (, | (-,,,,,,,,,, |
| | (111)Pier Protection - Code | (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |
| , | I | |
| | (, | T A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) |
| (40) Navigation Horizontal Clearance FT C) Other Special Insp NO Mo C) | (116)Vert-Lift Bridge Min Vert Clear | T A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) T B) Underwater Insp YES Mo 60 B) 6/1/2021 |



Page 1 of 1

| | INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| IDENTIFICATION — | I | |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 93.8 | |
| (8) Structure Number # 0002710009531100 Asset ID 6518 | Functionally Obsolete = NO | |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 111000953 | Structurally Deficient = NO | |
| (2)State Highway Department District 6 | CLASSIFICATION — | Code - |
| (3) County Code 53 (4) Place Code | (112)NBIS Bridge Length - | YES |
| (6)Features Intersected COOSAWHATCHIE RIVER | (104)Highway System - NHS | 1 |
| (7)Facility Carried I-95 SB | (26) Functional System - RURAL-PRIN ART-INT | 1 |
| (9)Location @ COOSAWHATCHIE (11)Milepoint 29.043 | (100) Strahnet Highway - STRAH HWY | 1 |
| (12)Base Highway Network - PART OF NET Code 1 | (101)Parallel Structure - LEFT STRUCT | Ĺ |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 001-00095000 | (102) Direction of Traffic -1-WAY TRAFFIC | 1 |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 35 Minutes 18.14 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - | |
| (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 55 Minutes 25.75 Seconds | | 0 |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A | |
| (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (110) Designated National Network - YES | 1 |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (20) Toll - ON FREE ROAD | 3 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - CONCRETE | (21) Maintain - SCDOT | 1 |
| Type - SLAB Code 101 | (22) Owner - SCDOT | 1 5 |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE | 5 |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 | CONDITION — | Code - |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 10 | (58) Deck - SATISFACTORY | 6 |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans 0 | (59)Superstructure -SATISFACTORY | 6 |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (60) Substructure - SATISFACTORY | 6 |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - BNK PRT REPAIR | 7 |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE | N |
| B)Type of Membrane - NONE Code 0 | LOAD RATING AND POSTING | Code - |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 | | Code - |
| AGE AND SERVICE | (31)Design Load -HS 20 | _ |
| (27)Year Built 1974 | (64)Operating Rating - LRFR | 53.14 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | (66)Inventory Rating -LRFR | 41.15 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | (70)Bridge Posting -EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS | 5 |
| Under -WATERWAY Code 5 | (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - | Α |
| (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 0 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT | |
| (29) Average Daily Traffic 28850 | APPRAISAL ———— | Code - |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 19 % | | |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - EQUAL TO MIN CRITERIA | 6 |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (68) Deck Geometry | 7 N |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 30 FT | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal | N 6 |
| (49)Structure Length 300 FT | (71) Waterway Adequacy | 8 |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment (36) Traffic Safety Features | 0111 |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.5 FT | (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS | 5 |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 42.8 FT | (110) Scoti Citical Bridges - SCOOK WITHIN LIMITS | 3 |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 37.5 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS — | |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 | (75) Type of Work - Code | 2 |
| (34)Skew 0 Deg (35)Struture Flared NO | (76) Length of Structure Improvement 30 | 00.0 FT |
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN | (94) Bridge Improvement Cost | \$0.00 |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT | (95) Roadway Improvement Costs | \$0.00 |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (96) Total Project Cost | \$0.00 |
| (35)Mill Velt Clear Over Bridge Roadway | (co) rotal riojest cost | 2022 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate | |
| | | 50488 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate | 50488 2041 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR .0FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT | |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR .0FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT .0FT | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT INSPECTIONS | 2041 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency | 2041 24 M o |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref NOT HWY OR RXR 0FT 0 IN (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR .0FT (56)Min Lat Underclear Left .0FT NAVIGATION DATA | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date | 2041 24 M o |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) | 2041 24 M o te |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate (114) Future AADT (115) Year of Future AADT INSPECTIONS (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) | 2041 24 M o |

| BRIDGE MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE | NVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT |
|--|--|
| IDENTIFICATION | |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 81.5 |
| (8) Structure Number # 0002710009531200 Asset ID 6517 | Functionally Obsolete = NO |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 111000953 | Structurally Deficient = NO |
| (2)State Highway Department District 8 | CLASSIFICATION — Code - |
| (3)County Code 53 (4) Place Code | (112)NBIS Bridge Length - YES |
| (6)Features Intersected TULLIFINNY RIVER | . , |
| (7)Facility Carried I-95 SB | (104) Highway System - NHS 1 (26) Functional System - RURAL-PRIN ART-INT 1 |
| (9)Location 1MI N OF COOSAWHATCHIE | (, |
| (11)Milepoint 30.542 | (100) Strahnet Highway - STRAH HWY 1 |
| (12)Base Highway Network - PART OF NET Code 1 | (101)Parallel Structure - LEFT STRUCT L |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 001-00095000 | (102) Direction of Traffic -1-WAY TRAFFIC 1 |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 36 Minutes 0.78 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - |
| (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 54 Minutes 9.50 Seconds | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A 0 |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % | (110) Designated National Network - YES 1 |
| (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (20) Toll - ON FREE ROAD 3 |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (21) Maintain - SCDOT 1 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - CONCRETE | (22)Owner -SCDOT 1 |
| Type - SLAB Code 101 | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE 5 |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 | CONDITION — Code – |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 6 | (58) Deck - FAIR 5 |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans 0 | (59)Superstructure -FAIR 5 |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (60) Substructure - FAIR 5 |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - BNK PRT REPAIR 7 |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE N |
| B)Type of Membrane - NONE Code 0 | LOAD RATING AND POSTING Code _ |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 | (31)Design Load -HS 20 5 |
| AGE AND SERVICE | 1 |
| (27)Year Built 1974 | (64)Operating Rating -LRFR 49.25 (66)Inventory Rating -LRFR 38.23 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | , |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | , , , |
| Under -WATERWAY Code 5 | (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - A |
| (28) Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 0 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT |
| (29) Average Daily Traffic 28850 | APPRAISAL — Code – |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109) Truck ADT 19 % | |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 1 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - BETTER THAN ADEQUACY 5 |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (68) Deck Geometry 7 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 30 FT | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal N |
| (49)Structure Length 180 FT | (71)Waterway Adequacy |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment 8 |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 39.5 FT | (36) Traffic Safety Features 011N |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 42.8 FT | (113) Scour Critical Bridges - SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS 5 |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 38 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 | (75) Type of Work - Code |
| (34)Skew 0 Deg (35)Struture Flared NO | (76) Length of Structure Improvement 180.0 FT |
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 99 FT 99 IN | (94) Bridge Improvement Cost \$0.00 |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 39.5 FT | (95) Roadway Improvement Costs \$0.00 |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (96) Total Project Cost \$0.00 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - NOT HWY OR RXR OFT 0 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 2022 |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-NOT HWY OR RXR .0FT | (114) Future AADT 50488 |
| | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 |
| | |
| NAVIGATION DATA | INSPECTIONS |
| (38)Navigation Control - NONE Code 0 | (90) Inspection Date 07/2022 (91) Frequency 24 Mo |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code | (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |
| (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance FT | A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) |
| (116)Vert-Lift Bridge Min Vert Clear FT | B) Underwater Insp YES Mo 60 B) 6/1/2021 |
| (40)Navigation Horizontal Clearance FT | C) Other Special Insp NO Mo C) |

| BRIDGE MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE | NVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT |
|--|---|
| IDENTIFICATION | |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 95.9 |
| (8) Structure Number # 0002740046200201 Asset ID 6521 | Functionally Obsolete = NO |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 141004622 | Structurally Deficient = NO |
| (2)State Highway Department District 6 | _ |
| (3)County Code 53 (4) Place Code | CLASSIFICATION — Code - |
| (6)Features Intersected -95 | (112) NBIS Bridge Length - YES |
| (7)Facility Carried SC 482 | (104) Highway System - NOT NHS |
| (9)Location 8.0MI N OF RIDGELAND | (26) Functional System - RURAL-MAJ COLL 4 |
| (11)Milepoint 18.400 | (100) Strahnet Highway - NOT STRAH HWY 0 |
| (12)Base Highway Network - NOT PART OF NET Code 0 | (101)Parallel Structure - NONE EXIST N |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute | (102) Direction of Traffic -2-WAY TRAFFIC 2 |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 34 Minutes 45.68 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - |
| (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 55 Minutes 49.48 Seconds | |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A 0 |
| (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (110) Designated National Network - NO 0 |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (20)Toll -ON FREE ROAD 3 |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - STEEL CONT | (21) Maintain - SCDOT 1 |
| | (22)Owner -SCDOT 1 |
| Type - STRINGER/MULTI-BEAM OR GIRD Code 402 (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE 5 |
| , , | CONDITION Code |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 4 | (58) Deck - FAIR 5 |
| (10) trained of opens in main of the | (59)Superstructure -SATISFACTORY 6 |
| () | (60) Substructure - GOOD 7 |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - N/A N |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE N |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 B)Type of Membrane - UNKNOWN Code 8 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE |
| B)Type of Membrane - UNKNOWN Code 8 C)Type of Deck Protection - UNKNOWN Code 8 | LOAD RATING AND POSTING — Code - |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - UNKNOWN Code 8 | (31)Design Load -HS 20 5 |
| AGE AND SERVICE | (64)Operating Rating - LRFR 37.91 |
| (27)Year Built 1974 | (66)Inventory Rating -LRFR 27.88 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | (70)Bridge Posting -EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5 |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | |
| Under -HIGHWAY, WITH OR WITHOUT Code 1 | (1.7) |
| (28) Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 4 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT |
| (29) Average Daily Traffic 2600 | APPRAISAL — Code - |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 12 % | |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 0 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - EQUAL TO MIN CRITERIA 6 |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (68) Deck Geometry 9 |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 93 FT | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal 9 |
| (49)Structure Length 258 FT | (71) Waterway Adequacy N |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .0 FT Right .0 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment 8 |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 44 FT | (36) Traffic Safety Features 1011 |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 47.3 FT | (113) Scour Critical Bridges - NOT OVER WATERWAY N |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 42 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS — |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 | |
| (34)Skew 8 Deg (35) Struture Flared NO | (|
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 19FT 2 IN | (76) Length of Structure Improvement 258.0 FT |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 44.0 FT | (94) Bridge Improvement Cost \$0.00 |
| (, | (95) Roadway Improvement Costs \$0.00 |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (96) Total Project Cost \$0.00 |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - HWY BENEATH 17FT 10 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 2022 |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 20.0FT | (114) Future AADT 3798 |
| (56) Min Lat Underclear Left 31.3FT | (115) Year of Future AADT 2041 |
| NAVIGATION DATA | INSPECTIONS |
| (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N | (90) Inspection Date 12/2021 (91) Frequency 24 Mo |
| | |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code | |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code | (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI Date |
| (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance FT | A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) |
| • • | |

Page 1 of 1

| STRUCTURE I | NVENTURY AND APPRAISAL REPORT |
|--|--|
| IDENTIFICATION — | |
| (1)State Name- SOUTH CAROLINA Code 454 | Sufficiency Rating = 94.0 |
| (8)Structure Number # 0002770017200100 Asset ID 6522 | Functionally Obsolete = NO |
| (5)Inventory Route (On/Under) On - 171001720 | Structurally Deficient = NO |
| (2)State Highway Department District 6 | , |
| (3)County Code 53 (4) Place Code | CLASSIFICATION — Cod |
| (6)Features Intersected I-95 & S-425 | (112) NBIS Bridge Length - |
| | (104)Highway System - NOT NHS |
| | (26) Functional System - RURAL-LOCAL |
| (9)Location 8.8 NE RIDGELAND 0.358 | (100) Strahnet Highway - NOT STRAH HWY |
| (12)Base Highway Network - NOT PART OF NET Code 0 | (101)Parallel Structure - NONE EXIST |
| (13)LRS Inventory Route & Subroute | (102) Direction of Traffic -2-WAY TRAFFIC |
| (16)Latitude 32 Degrees 35 Minutes 41.97 Seconds | |
| (17)Longitude 80 Degrees 54 Minutes 47.99 Seconds | (103)Temporary Structure - |
| (98)Border Bridge State Code % SHARE % | (105) Federal Lands Highways - N/A |
| (99)Border Bridge Structure No. # | (110) Designated National Network - NO |
| STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL | (20) Toll - ON FREE ROAD |
| | (21) Maintain - SCDOT |
| (43)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - STEEL | (22)Owner -SCDOT |
| Type - STRINGER/MULTI-BEAM OR GIRD Code 302 | (37) Historical Significance - NOT ELIGIBLE |
| (44)Structure Type Main: MATERIAL - OTHER OR N/A | CONDITION |
| Type - OTHER OR N/A Code 000 | CONDITION — Coo |
| (45)Number of Spans in Main Unit 5 | (58) Deck - FAIR |
| (46)Number of Approach Spans 0 | (59)Superstructure -SATISFACTORY |
| (107)Deck Structure Type - CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLC Code 1 | (60)Substructure - SATISFACTORY |
| (108)Wearing Surface / Protective System: | (61) Channel and Channel Protection - N/A |
| A)Type of Wearing Surface - MONO CONCRETE Code 1 | (62) Culverts - NOT APPLICABLE |
| B)Type of Membrane - NONE Code 0 | LOAD DATING AND DOCTING |
| C)Type of Deck Protection - NONE Code 0 | LOAD RATING AND POSTING — Cod |
| AGE AND SERVICE | (31)Design Load -HS 15 |
| (27)Year Built 1974 | (64) Operating Rating - LRFR 39 |
| (106)Year Reconstructed 0 | (66)Inventory Rating - LRFR 30. |
| (42)Type of Service On - HIGHWAY | (70)Bridge Posting -EQUAL/ABOVE LEGAL LOADS |
| Under -HIGHWAY, WITH OR WITHOUT Code 1 | (41) Structure Open, Posted or Closed - |
| (28)Lanes: On Structure = 2 Under Structure = 4 | Description - OPEN, NO RESTRICT |
| (29) Average Daily Traffic 125 | or EN, NO KESTINGT |
| (30)Year of ADT 2021 (109)Truck ADT 05 % | APPRAISAL — Cod |
| (19)Bypass, Detour Length 199 MI | (67) Structure Evaluation - EQUAL TO MIN CRITERIA |
| (1-7-) | (68) Deck Geometry |
| GEOMETRIC DATA | (69) Underclearances, Vertical and Horizontal |
| (48)Length of Maximum Span 88 FT | (71)Waterway Adequacy |
| (49)Structure Length 351 FT | |
| (50)Curb or Sidewalk: Left .6 FT Right .6 FT | (72) Approach Roadway Alignment |
| (51)Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 40 FT | (36) Traffic Safety Features 00 |
| (52)Deck Width Out to Out 43.3 FT | (113) Scour Critical Bridges - NOT OVER WATERWAY |
| (32)Approach Roadway Width (W/Shoulders) 24 FT | PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS — |
| (33)Bridge Median - NONE Code 0 | (75) Type of Work - Code |
| (34)Skew 0 Deg (35)Struture Flared NO | (76) Length of Structure Improvement 351.0 |
| (10)Inventory Route Min Vert Clear 19FT 1 IN | (94) Bridge Improvement So. (94) Bridge Improvement Cost So. |
| (47)Inventory Route Total Horz Clear 40.0 FT | (, |
| | (95) Roadway Improvement Costs \$0. |
| (53)Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Roadway 99FT 99 IN | (96) Total Project Cost \$0. |
| (54)Min Vert Underclear Ref - HWY BENEATH 17FT 10 IN | (97) Year of Improvement Cost Estimate 20 |
| (55)Min Lat Underclear Right Ref-HWY BENEATH 30.0FT | (114) Future AADT 1 |
| (56)Min Lat Underclear Left 29.1FT | (115) Year of Future AADT 20 |
| NAVIGATION DATA | INSPECTIONS |
| (38)Navigation Control - N/A - NO WATERWAY Code N | (90) Inspection Date 01/2021 (91) Frequency 24 I |
| (111)Pier Protection - Code | |
| | |
| (39)Navigation Vertical Clearance FT | A) Fracture Crit Detail NO Mo A) |
| (116)Vert-Lift Bridge Min Vert Clear FT | B) Underwater Insp NO Mo B) |
| (40)Navigation Horizontal Clearance FT | C) Other Special Insp NO Mo C) |
| | |

C. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT:

This is a qualifications-based selection and the contract will be competitively negotiated. The most qualified of the responsible and responsive consultant firms will be selected for negotiations. This qualification-based selection is based on the Brooks Act (40 U.S.C. 11) and 23 CFR 172.

D. SUBMITTAL FORMAT:

All responding firms must utilize ProjectWise, SCDOT's electronic proposal submission process. Submitted proposal documents that are uploaded into ProjectWise <u>shall</u> be named with the following format: <u>S-XXX-XX RespondingFirmName.pdf.</u> Submitted proposal documents that are REDACTED shall be uploaded into ProjectWise under following format: <u>S-XXX-XX RespondingFirmName-REDACTED.pdf.</u>

Please contact Matthew Boozer at <u>BoozerML@scdot.org</u> or Zachary Follmer at <u>FollmerZD@scdot.org</u> to set up an account to begin utilizing the electronic submittal process. Consultants are REQUIRED to upload their submittals online through ProjectWise. Only one completed submittal per team will be accepted and shall be uploaded by the lead consulting firm. Please be advised of the time required to set up new account. All requests for new accounts must be received 72 hours prior to the proposal deadline indicated in the milestone schedule.

Information regarding ProjectWise can be found at:

http://www.scdot.org/doing/constructionLetting_ProjectWise.aspx

In the event that a consultant is unable to submit its RFP response through ProjectWise, consultant shall contact the CO to request authorization to submit the RFP response in another format. RFP responses which do not have prior SCDOT authorization to deviate from the ProjectWise format may be considered non-responsive.

All questions regarding the scope of work in the RFP must be submitted by e-mail to the CO or as directed in the RFP. Questions shall be received a minimum of five (5) business days prior to the date and time that the proposal is due unless otherwise stated in RFP. No further questions shall be accepted after that time specified. SCDOT will strive to place all questions and their answers as an addendum to the RFP on the SCDOT website. The names of the consultant/vendors submitting questions will not be disclosed. Proposers will be required to submit an acknowledgement of addendum receipt with their RFP submittal. Oral explanations or instructions and email exchanges with the CO are non-binding and do not become part of the contract or RFP.

RFP formatting requirements: The response shall contain no more than thirty-five (35) double spaced pages with normal one (1) inch margins, typed on one side only, excluding appendices. Minimum font size shall be 12-point, and the response document page size shall be standard 8.5 inches x 11 inches. The organizational chart may be on a larger paper size up to 11 inches x 17 inches. Tables may be single spaced with a minimum font size of 10-point. Charts, tables, and schedules used to explain or expand on the RFP narrative are to be included within the thirty-five (35) pages and shall not be inserted into the appendices. Photo captions and other text that are not part of the narrative paragraphs and tables do not have font limitations. No additional information shall be accepted, including links to external websites, video clips, simulations/visualization embedded within the thirty-five (35) page narrative. If the response does not conform to these requirements, the proposal may be negatively scored.

The RFP response must be submitted by the date and time listed in this RFP.

Responses also must address each of the following proposal content requirements in the same order as listed below. If a consultant does not submit responses to these items, their submittal may be considered non-responsive and returned without further review/evaluation. Consultants are advised that SCDOT reserves the right to conduct an independent investigation of any information, including prior experiences, identified in the responses. Consultants are responsible for effecting delivery by the deadline date and time; late submissions will be rejected without opening. SCDOT accepts no responsibility for misdirected or lost proposals. Responses shall be explained and identified within the thirty-five (35) pages.

E. PROPOSAL CONTENT:

- 1. Letter of Interest:
 - a. The letter of interest does not count toward the thirty-five (35) pages of the body of the submitted proposal.
 - b. The Letter of Interest should be no longer than two (2) page and shall contain the following items:
 - i. An expression of the Prime Consultant's interest in being selected for the project.
 - ii. A statement confirming the commitment of key personnel identified in the submittal to the extent necessary to meet SCDOT's quality and schedule expectations.
 - iii. Provide the email address and the legal name (first, middle and last, (including maiden name, if appropriate)) of the Prime Consultant Principal, Officer of the Firm or Project Manager responsible for this contract with the authority to sign the contract for consultant.
 - iv. A summary of key points regarding the Prime Consultant's qualifications.
 - v. Provide a statement confirming the commitment of Key Individuals, identified in the submittal, are capable to the extent necessary to meet SCDOT's quality and schedule expectations, and that they are available for the duration of the Project.
 - vi. Signing the letter of interest constitutes authorization of consultant to submit qualification for the purpose of negotiating and entering a contract with SCDOT.
 - vii. Certification of authorized submitter that information contained within is correct by including: "I certify that the information included within this document, is to the best of my knowledge, correct as of the date indicated".
- 2. Project Organization Chart: The organizational chart is included as part of the thirty-five (35) pages. Limited to one (1) side of one sheet of paper. The organizational chart may be on a larger paper size up to 11 inches x 17 inches. This chart must include the legal names (first, middle, maiden if applicable, and last) of the key individuals selected for this project, their roles and the names of the consultant by which they are employed, the lines of communication and functional structure. It must also include the levels of management and reporting relationships for the key Individuals, along with their major functions to be performed in management and design. It shall also indicate the individuals who will be points of contact with the SCDOT Project Manager. The organizational chart must clearly display any DBE firm(s) that will be utilized to meet the contract goal.
- 3. Qualifications for key individuals that are considered critical to the success of the project. Qualifications should include information on experience related to similar projects and previous project work.
- 4. Legal names (first, middle and last, (including maiden name, if appropriate)) for all individuals identified in the proposal. Note: if the legal name is included on the organizational chart that will fulfill the legal name requirement.
- 5. A direct response to each of the selection criteria identified in section F.
- 6. The consultant must provide a chart indicating the present workload of all key personnel to include all active projects (concurrent projects with other entities such as cities, other state agencies, counties, COGs MPOs, private sector) and their percentage availability for this project.
- 7. Prior to contract execution, all consultant firms, key Individuals and all other individuals that are considered critical to the success of the project, shall hold or obtain licenses required for performing work on the Project under state and local laws. Any design reports, plans, and design calculations shall be signed and sealed by an unrestricted Professional Engineer registered in the State of South Carolina.
- 8. All proposals must clearly list the percentage of work for prime consultant and sub-consultant(s) that will be performing work as part of the project. Failure to include this information in the proposal may result in the proposal being considered nonresponsive and the proposal will be rejected.

9. Appendices:

Consultant and Key Individual Questionnaire

For each Consultant firm and Key individual provide the following answers pertaining to quality of past performance:

- Have any project been litigated or mediated due to design errors or deficiencies?
- Have any projects under contract with the Consultant been subject to remediation actions due to design, stop work orders due to design, or project delays in excess of 30 days as a result of design error?
- Has an owner or Contractor pursued compensation from any consultant firm listed or any Key Individual due to errors and omissions?
- Are any of the Key Individuals or Consultant firms under investigation, suspension or debarment for design errors?

Please indicate if the prime consultant has previously worked with the proposed sub-consultant and give a brief example of the previous relationship(s).

A current Standard Form 330 (SF 330) as required by the Federal Acquisition Regulation must be on file with the SCDOT Professional Services Contracting Office. All parts of the SF 330 must be completed in its entirety for the prime consultant, any sub-consultants and any sub-contractors. If current SF 330 is on file with the SCDOT Professional Services Contracting Office then it is not required to submit form with this advertisement proposal; however all sub-consultants and sub-contractors must also be on file. If a current SF 330 is not on file the form must be included with consultant's proposal. SF 330 can be updated at any time with the Professional Services Contracting Office.

The SF 330s will not count against the maximum page limit and can be included in the appendices.

a. Provide a list of References who have personal knowledge of the prime consultant's and the sub-consultant's previous performance. Provide three (3) client references each for both the prime and the sub-consultant(s). The references must be submitted in report form in the format listed below (Example: Excel Columns A through F): **Please do not use acronyms.**

| Α | В | С | D | E | F |
|-------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------|
| Email | First Name | Last Name | Company Name | Project Name | Firm |

b. Provide a list of References who have personal knowledge of each Key Individual's previous performance. Provide three (3) client references for each Key Individual. The references must be submitted in report form in the format listed below (Example: Excel Columns A through G): Please do not use acronyms.

| I | Α | В | С | D | E | F | G |
|---|-------|------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------|------|
| | Email | First Name | Last Name | Kev Individual Name | Proiect Name | Role of Kev Individual | Firm |

- c. Size and description of the submitting prime consulting firm. Identify the needed resources specific to this project and how those resources will be secured for the project.
- d. No additional appendices, information or sections to the appendices will be accepted.

F. SELECTION CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS:

A selection committee comprised of subject matter experts will be established by SCDOT to review the RFP responses and score the firms based on the technical criteria provided in the RFP. The selection committee will receive copies of each responsive RFP response submitted and will review and draft preliminary scores based on the technical selection criteria. The selection committee will then meet to discuss the RFPs and determine if interviews are necessary. If interviews will not be conducted, members finalize their individual scores and submit them to the Contract Officer (CO). If the selection committee determines interviews are necessary prior to selection, a minimum of three (3) consultants will be short-listed based on the preliminary scoring and invited to participate in interviews. The selection committee will develop the format for the interviews which will be used to refine and fill in gaps from their preliminary scoring. Upon conclusion of the interviews, the committee will reconvene to discuss the interviews, finalize their individual scores and enter them into the digital score sheet.

Once the Selection Committee's scores have been entered into the digital score sheet, the Chief of Professional Services, or designee, will enter the Weighted Workload Score into the digital score sheet to arrive at the final score for the proposal. The CO will compile the final scores, rank the consultant firms, and send the three (3) highest ranked consultant firms to the Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) with a recommendation to begin contract negotiations with the highest ranked consultant firm. The CPO may approve entering into contract negotiations with the top ranked consultant firm, or reject the selection altogether (in which case the project may be re-solicited). If negotiations fail with any of the offerors, SCDOT may terminate the negotiation and commence negotiation with the next highest ranked offeror that is not already in negotiations.

Consultants are advised that the SCDOT may use all information provided by the consultant and information obtained from other sources in the assessment of past performance and quality of past performance, including SCDOT Consultant Performance Evaluation (CPE) Scores. Past performance information on contracts not listed by the consultant, or that of named subcontractors, may also be evaluated. SCDOT may contact references other than those identified by the consultant and information received may be used in the evaluation of the consultant's past performance. While SCDOT may elect to consider information obtained from other sources, the burden of providing current, accurate, and complete past performance information rests with the consultant. The criteria with relative point value shown below will be the basis for the submitted proposal evaluation and score.

| Technical Criteria 1 | 30% | Project understanding and Design Approach Demonstrate the consultant's understanding of this project and describe the best design approach specific to the following key areas: 1. Project Management & coordination both within and externally to the proposed team. 2. Permitting and Environmental Services 3. Design Services and Plan Development including quality control 4. Identification and management of project risks 5. Methods for incorporating constructability and limiting ambiguity in construction contract documents. |
|--------------------------------|-----|--|
| Technical Criteria 2 | 25% | Demonstrate that the project team has the personnel and experience to provide the full range of services necessary for optimal project success. Demonstrate the team's ability to adhere to the project schedule. Describe your approach to schedule management and schedule recovery during Engineering. Describe your approach to Engineering cost and budget management Demonstrate the ability to be responsive and to collaborate with SCDOT. |
| Technical Criteria 3 | 25% | Detail the specific experience of the proposed project manager and design leads in managing large scale Corridor/interstate and Interchange Improvement projects. |
| Technical Criteria 4 | 10% | Past performance and quality of past performance of the firm/team Key Individuals on similar type projects according to consultant performance evaluations and references. |
| Technical Criteria 5 | 5% | Familiarity of the firm/team with state transportation agency practices and procedures. |
| Total Technical Criteria | 95% | |

*Note: An asterisk will be used to point out when special experience is essential within any of the above evaluation criterion. To address this, the consultant will need to provide specific projects to demonstrate this experience to include the client's name, telephone number and email address, along with the specific role of the consultant's team member.

| Workload Criteria | 5% | "Workload" is defined as the dollar amount of active executed agreements (basic, contract modifications, work orders, task orders, and small purchase) between a consultant and SCDOT, minus the amounts already invoiced. It will also include those amounts under negotiation, exclusive of those that are suspended. |
|----------------------|----|---|
|----------------------|----|---|

G. FINAL SELECTION AND NOTIFICATION:

The CO will compile the finalized scores, rank the firms, and send the three (3) highest ranked firms to the CPO with a recommendation to begin contract negotiations with the highest ranked firm. The CPO may approve entering into contract negotiations with the top ranked firm, or reject the selection altogether (in which case the project may be re-solicited).

The intent is to enter into a contract with the top firm pending successful negotiations. All awards will be posted on the SCDOT website.

H. TIE BREAKER:

Final evaluation scores are determined from the average of the voting selection committee member's scores. Final scores will be extended to the hundredth (2 decimal points) to determine the higher ranked firm. In the event of a tie, final scores will be determined based on the value of contracts awarded in the category of work solicited during the previous 24-month period. The firm with the lowest dollar value of actual projects/tasks awarded during the period will be deemed the higher ranking firm of the tie.

I. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS:

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: SCDOT reserves the right to request or obtain additional information about any and all responses to the RFP.

AMENDMENT: Any amendment will be posted at the SCDOT website. The RFP may be amended at any time prior to the RFP response submittal date. All actual or prospective consultants should monitor the SCDOT website for issuance of amendments. Consultants shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this RFP (1) by signing and returning the amendment, (2) by letter; or (3) by submitting a RFP response that indicates in some way that the consultant received the amendment. If this RFP is amended, all terms and conditions which are not modified remain unchanged. It is the consultant's responsibility to check the website regularly for updates and modifications.

AUDITS: Prior to contract award, an audit may be conducted by SCDOT of the selected consultant. This audit will be for the purpose of ensuring the selected firm is financially capable of performing the contract, the cost information and prices quoted are reasonable and the selected consultant has adequate accounting practices to ensure accurate tracking of contract costs.

AUTHORIZATION TO BEGIN WORK: No work shall commence until after contract execution and issuance of a Notice to Proceed (NTP). Violations of NTP may result in non-payment of work performed, termination of an impending contract, or loss of federal funds, if applicable. Consultant billing shall not date prior to contract and/or modification of execution date.

AWARD: Contract award will occur after successful negotiations with the selected firm are reached. All selected firms and awards will be posted on SCDOT website. Award will be made to the top ranked firm that has successfully negotiated a contract.

CEI CONFLICT: No member of the consulting firm, its subsidiaries and/or affiliates, shall be selected for CEI services on a design build project if they are part of the design build team. If a sub-consultant is on the design build team, the CEI consultant candidate shall request approval from SCDOT to replace the conflicting sub-consultant prior to CEI contracting in accordance with the KEY INDIVIDUAL, STAFF AND TEAM CHANGES AFTER AWARD OF DESIGN BUILD CONTRACT.

CERTIFICATION FORMS: Submission forms located in 'Appendix A' of this document must be completed, signed, and notarized and submitted with the RFP response for the Prime and each Sub-consultant(s) or Sub-contractor(s). Failure to submit these forms may result in the RFP response being deemed nonresponsive.

CLARIFICATIONS: SCDOT, at its sole discretion, shall have the right to seek clarifications from any consultant to fully understand information contained in their responses to the RFP.

COMMUNICATION: Effective the date of the advertisement of this contract, no further contact is allowed with any SCDOT personnel concerning this project except for questions of an administrative or contractual nature that shall be submitted in writing to the attention of the CO. This restriction is in effect until the selection has been announced. The employees of the proposing consultant may not contact any SCDOT staff including members of the Selection Committee, other than the CO to obtain information on the RFP. Such contact may result in disqualification. This includes any contact with any other state Department of Transportation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: By submitting a proposal, proposer agrees that, if an organizational conflict of interest (real or apparent) is discovered after the proposal is submitted, the proposer must make an immediate and full disclosure to SCDOT that includes a description of the action that the proposer has taken or proposes to take to avoid or mitigate such conflict. At SCDOT's discretion, SCDOT may reject the proposal as non-responsive due to the conflict of interest notwithstanding proposer's mitigation efforts. SCDOT considers it a conflict of interest for a consultant to represent more than one party in relation to any given project regardless of which phases of the service are involved. If proposer fails to disclose a conflict of interest, SCDOT may reject the proposal as non-responsive. If after award of the contract an organizational conflict of interest is determined to exist, SCDOT may, at its discretion, cancel the contract. If the proposer was aware of an organizational conflict of interest prior to the award of the contract and did not disclose the conflict to SCDOT, then SCDOT may terminate the contract for default. Consultant must complete and submit a DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION certifying it does not have any financial or other interest in the outcome of the project, that it has no agreement, enforceable promise, or guarantee with any individual or company to provide any work on the project, that it does not have any association or professional or business relationships with anyone who has a financial interest in the outcome of the project, nor does anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of the project, exercise any control over the consultant's pay, employment, bonuses, or other area subject to external influence.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (NEPA): For all Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Assessment (EA) consultant selections, a <u>DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION</u> will be required from each firm of the project team (prime and all sub-consultants). Consultants will be required to disclose any financial or other interest they may have in the outcome of the environmental document, in accordance with Council on Environmental Quality Regulation, 40 C.F.R. §1506.5(c) (1999). The <u>DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION</u> is included in the Appendix to this RFP.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (SCDOT COMMISSION): Section 23 of Act 40 of 2017 [now codified as S. C. Code Section 57-1-350(G)] prohibits a member of the SCDOT Commission serving on July 1, 2017 (the effective date of the Act) or thereafter, from having an interest, direct or indirect, in any contract awarded by the department during the member's term of appointment and for one year after the termination of the appointment. Therefore, any proposal or bid submitted to SCDOT in violation of this law will be disqualified.

COST RATE: Consultants and sub-consultants must have an SCDOT approved indirect cost rate prior to contract execution. Please refer to the following link for additional information:

http://www.scdot.org/business/ae-consultants.aspx

CURRENT AND FORMER SCDOT EMPLOYEES: To avoid the appearance of any real or perceived favoritism, unfair advantage, undue influence, or conflict of interest, a proposal will be disqualified that names, identifies, or includes in any way a current or former SCDOT employee serving in a management level position within 365 days of the submittal. No communication or appearance shall be made by such current or former employee with SCDOT on such proposal, or the proposal will be disqualified. In addition no current or former employee, who served in a management level position or above, may work on or invoice for services performed on a project within 365 days after their last day of employment with SCDOT. For the purposes of this bright line rule, "management level position" is defined as any SCDOT Pay Band 7 and above position, which includes, but is not limited to, Directors, Assistant Directors, District Engineering Administrators, District-level Engineers, Program Managers, Assistant Program Managers and Resident-level Engineers.

DBE GOAL: The contract for this Project contains a DBE participation goal as set forth on Page 1 of this RFP. The Consultant shall comply with the requirements of the specifications titled, DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) – SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATION found on SCDOT's website at the following link:

http://info2.scdot.org/SCDOTProfessionalServ/SitePages/constructionLetting Services.aspx.

For the purposes of applying these Specifications, the term Contractor is synonymous with Consultant. The Selected Consultant shall submit a DBE committal sheet after completion of contract negotiations and prior to contract execution. Failure to provide the committal sheet within the allotted time frame may result in the consultant being considered non-responsive and ineligible for contract execution.

DBE QUALIFICATION: To qualify as a DBE on this project, the firm must be listed as approved for the type of work to be performed in the South Carolina Unified DBE Directory at the time of the RFP submittal. Consultants shall comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The SCDOT strongly encourages the use of and involvement of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) on this project. The directory can be found at the following link:

http://www.scdot.org/business/bus-development-dbe-sbe-cert.aspx

DBE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS: All executed contracts are subject to the provisions of the SCDOT DBE Program and 49 CFR Part 26. SCDOT is utilizing the DBE Quarterly Reports and DBE Status Spreadsheet as tracking tools. The DBE Quarterly Report reflects quarterly and total payments to date for all DBE firms. A separate DBE Quarterly Report must be submitted for each DBE firm for every active contract even if no payments were made to DBEs during the specified reporting period. The DBE Status Spreadsheet reflects a summary of payments to all committed and non-committed DBE firms working on the project, and must be submitted along with the DBE Quarterly Reports.

DBE UTILIZATION PLAN: A plan for use of DBE firms on the advertised project. The plan should be specific to the project and should include the firms to be used and the type of work each will perform. An estimated percentage of work for each firm should be indicated.

DEBARMENT CERTIFICATION: Federal regulations require certification by prospective consultants as to current history regarding debarment, eligibility, indictments, convictions, or civil judgments. Consultant is required to submit the Debarment certification with its RFP response. Any individual, business, organization, corporation, consortium, partnership, joint venture, or any other entity including subconsultants currently debarred or suspended by the federal government are ineligible to participate in Federal – funded project. Any prospective consultant knowingly allowing any person disqualified, debarred or suspended pursuant to S.C. Reg. 63-306 or by any other state governmental or regulatory agency to serve as a subconsultant or supplier or to play any other role under any contract with the SCDOT without prior written authorization from the SCDOT may be disqualified. Certifications of primary consultants and lower tier entities regarding debarment, suspension, and other responsibility matters are included in the Appendix to this RFP.

DEBRIEFING: If a non-selected consultant would like to schedule a debriefing, consultant will have three (3) working days from the date of notification of non-selection. Only written requests (emails are acceptable) for a debriefing will be scheduled. If a consultant chooses to schedule a debriefing prior to contract signature, they can no longer be considered for award of this contract. However, the option exists to schedule a post-award debrief which will not jeopardize their opportunity for contract award should negotiations with the selected firm(s) prove unsuccessful.

DESIGN-BUILD SUPPORT ENGINEERING CONFLICT: By and large, the selection of a consulting firm for preparation services will indicate that the prime consultant will be retained through the completion of the design-build procurement process. Furthermore, any sub-consultant performing any of the following preliminary design services will customarily be retained: roadway, structural, hydraulics, geotechnical, and traffic. Those entities retained will be prohibited from participating in the pursuit of the associated design-build project. The purpose of this retention will be to primarily assist the Design-Build Group with RFP development, answering questions, and providing technical support during the RFP phase of the procurement.

Any sub-consultant performing services outside of the above referenced preliminary design services will be allowed to participate in the pursuit of the associated design-build project provided: (1) The prime consultant submits a Standard Release Letter along with all deliverables to the PM; and (2) SCDOT concurs in the release request. The Standard Release letter can be obtained on the SCDOT Design-Build website.

DRUG FREE WORK PLACE CERTIFICATION: By submitting an RFP response, consultant certifies that, if awarded a contract, consultant will comply with all applicable provisions of the Drug-free Workplace Act, Title 44, Chapter 107 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended.

ETHICS CERTIFICATION: By submitting this RFP, the consultant certifies that the consultant has and will comply with South Carolina's Ethics, Government Accountability, and Campaign Reform Act of 1991, as amended. The following statutes require special attention: (a) Offering, giving, soliciting, or receiving anything of value to influence action of public employee - §8-13-790, 8-13-705, 8-13-720; (b) Recovery of kickbacks - §8-13-790, (c) Offering, soliciting, or receiving money for advice or assistance of public official - §8-13-720, (d) Use or disclosure of confidential information - §8-13-725, (e) Persons hired to assist in the preparation of specifications or evaluation of bids - §8-13-1150, (f) Solicitation of state employees - §8-13-755, §8-13-760 and §8-13-725. The state may rescind any contract and recover all amounts expended as a result of any action taken in violation of this provision.

INSURANCE and BONDS: Consultant is responsible to obtain all required statutory and contractual insurance and bonds, including but not limited to Professional liability insurance and Error and Omissions insurance, and shall be submitted to the SCDOT prior to execution of contract.

JOINT VENTURES: If consultant is a partnership, limited partnership, joint venture or other association (hereinafter joint venture), provide a copy of the organizational document or agreement committing to form the organization. Provide documentation from its surety company acknowledging the formation of a joint venture for the purpose of completing the project and that the joint venture is capable of obtaining a performance bond and payment bond in the estimated amount of the contract. Provide a statement executed by all general partners, joint venture members, or other association members, as applicable, evidencing agreement to be fully liable for the performance under the contract. Provide documentation evidencing the person signing the contract has authority to sign the contract on behalf of the joint venture. This information may be included in the appendices and will not be counted against the maximum page limitation.

KEY INDIVIDUALS: At a minimum, SCDOT considers Project Managers and major discipline leaders as "Key Individuals." Based on the specific requirements of the project, the proposer may identify other key individuals as critical to the success of the project. It is incumbent on the prime consultant to determine who they deem as "Key Individuals."

KEY INDIVIDUAL CHANGES DURING SELECTION PROCESS:

(A) If during the selection process, the CO is notified by the consultant that key individuals are not available, action must be taken as follows: (1) if notified before scoring is complete, but after deadline for submittal, the consultant shall submit the formal name (first, middle, maiden if applicable, and last) and resume of a replacement having equal or better qualifications who would be replacing the key individual. The selection committee will score using the new key individual; (2) if notified after the scoring is complete, but prior to final approval, and the change involves the top scoring consultant, the consultant can submit the resume of the person having equal or better qualifications who will replace the key individual. The selection committee must then determine if the new person would affect the selection results. If not, the CO will notate the change and the justification for keeping the selection results. If it does, the selection committee will rescore the top consultant and change the selection; or (3) if a consultant notifies the CO of a key individual

change any time after the final approval, the SCDOT must determine if the new key individual is acceptable. If not, the consultant will be rejected and the next highest qualified consultant selected.

(B) To qualify for SCDOT's authorization to replace a key individual, the firm must submit the resume and SF 330 of the replacement, a written request explaining the reason for the change and must document that the proposed removal and replacement will provide services and/or management of the Project equal to or better than that submitted with the proposal. SCDOT will use the criteria specified in the advertisement and the qualification submitted by the firm to evaluate all requests. SCDOT reserves the right to reject the firm from further consideration if the new member is not approved.

KEY INDIVIDUAL CHANGES AFTER AWARD THIS SOLICIATION: All key individuals identified in the submitted proposal shall remain for the duration of the procurement process and if the Prime Consultant is awarded a contract, the duration of the contract. Unauthorized changes to the key individuals at any time during the procurement process may result in rejection of the submitted proposal from further consideration. If the Prime Consultant is awarded a contract, unauthorized changes to any key individuals in the submitted proposal may be considered a breach of contract and result in termination.

KEY INDIVIDUAL, STAFF AND TEAM CHANGES AFTER AWARD OF DESIGN BUILD CONTRACT: If the top ranking prime consultant has a sub-consultant or subcontractor listed that is a member of the successful design-build team, the prime consultant shall request approval from SCDOT to replace the sub-consultant or subcontractor only under the following conditions: (1) the prime consultant must remain, (2) a maximum of 25% of the original team may be substituted, and (3) key individuals cannot be substituted. If the selected prime consultant cannot meet the three (3) criteria above, the next highest ranked prime consultant will be reviewed for compliance. All changes in the team must be approved by SCDOT in writing.

LAWS AND REGULATIONS: It is the responsibility of consultant to know and understand state and federal contracting and project regulations, rules, policies and procedures. Consultants shall conform to all state and federal requirements.

LEGAL NAME: This is defined as an individual's formal name: first, middle, maiden if applicable, and last. Nick names may be included as an addition to, but not a replacement of the formal, legal name.

MULTIPLE PROPOSALS: Consultants are prohibited from submitting on multiple proposals as the prime consultant in response to this advertisement.

OWNERSHIP: All materials and written qualifications submitted pursuant to this RFP shall become the property of SCDOT and will not be returned. All responders must visibly mark as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "TRADE SECRET" each part of their submission that they consider to contain proprietary information the release of which would constitute an unreasonable invasion of privacy. For every document consultant submits in response to or with regard to this RFP, consultant must separately mark with the word "CONFIDENTIAL" every page, or portion thereof, that consultant contends contains information that is exempt from public disclosure because it is either (a) a trade secret as defined in Section 30-4-40(a)(1), or (b) confidential proprietary information, as that phrase is used in Section 30-4-30(a)(5)(c). For every document consultant submits in response to or with regard to this RFP, consultant must separately mark with the words "TRADE SECRET" every page, or portion thereof, that consultant contends contains a trade secret as that term is defined by Section 39-8-20 of the Trade Secrets Act. All markings must be conspicuous; use color, bold, underlining, or some other method in order to conspicuously distinguish the mark from the other text. Do not mark the entire Proposal as confidential or proprietary. If your response, or any part thereof, is improperly marked as confidential or trade secret, consultant waives its confidentiality and the SCDOT may, in its sole discretion, determine if it should be released. All unmarked pages will be subject to release in accordance with law. By submitting a response, consultant agrees to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the State of South Carolina, its agencies, officers and employees, from every claim, demand, loss, expense, cost, damage or injury, including attorney's fees, arising out of or resulting from withholding information by the State of South Carolina or any of its agencies, that consultant marked as "confidential" or "trade secret".

SUBMITTING REDACTED PROPOSALS: If your proposal includes any information that you marked as "Confidential," or "Trade Secret," in accordance with the clause entitled "OWNERSHIP", you must also

submit one complete copy of your proposal from which you have removed or concealed such information (the redacted copy). The redacted copy should (i) reflect the same pagination as the original, (ii) show the empty space from which information was redacted, and (iii) be submitted on magnetic media. Except for the information removed or concealed, the redacted copy must be identical to your original offer, and the Procurement Officer must be able to view, search, copy and print the redacted copy without a password. If you are the selected to proceed to contract negotiation, any document you provide to SCDOT during negotiation shall be submitted along with a redacted version. Failure to redact any information from the proposal during the negotiation may subject the information to disclosure under FOIA.

PREPARATION OF RFP: Consultants and/or sub-consultants who assist the owner in the preparation of a RFP document will not be allowed to participate in this RFP or join a team submitting a proposal in response to the RFP. However, SCDOT may determine there is not an organizational conflict of interest for a consultant or sub-consultant where: (a) The role of the consultant or sub-consultant was limited to provision of preliminary design, reports, or similar "low-level" documents that will be incorporated into the RFP, and did not include assistance in development of instructions to proposer or evaluation criteria, or (b) Where all documents and reports delivered to the agency by the consultant or sub-consultant are made available to all consultants.

PROTEST PROCEDURES: Please refer to Chapter 15, page 50 of the SCDOT Manual for Procurement, Management and Administration of Engineering and Design Related Services at:

http://info2.scdot.org/professionalserv/HostDocs/PSCO-Manual-5-1-2018.pdf

REQUIRED PERCENTAGE OF WORK FOR PRIME CONSULTANTS: Consultant must perform work valued at not less than 30% of the total work, excluding specialized services, with its own staff. Specialized services are those services or items not usually furnished by a consultant performing a particular type of services requested.

RESPONSIBLE: Award of the contract will be to firms who have the capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements and the integrity and reliability which will assure good faith performance which may be substantiated by past performance.

RESPONSIVENESS: Any RFP response which fails to conform to the material requirements of the RFP may be rejected as nonresponsive. Reasons for determining a proposal to be non-responsive may result from, but are not limited to, the following: failure to provide all information requested in RFP, conflict of interests, conditional proposals, and failure to provide complete and honest information. Proposers will not be given an opportunity to correct any material nonconformity. Any deficiency resulting from a minor informality may be clarified or waived at the sole discretion of the SCDOT.

RFP PREPARATION COSTS: SCDOT assumes no liability and will not reimburse costs incurred by firms (whether selected or not) in developing responses to this RFP or participating in interviews.

RIGHT TO MODIFY and AMEND RFP: SCDOT reserves the right to modify or amend any provision of this RFP, including the determination of its intent to award a contract pursuant to this RFP. Interested engineering consultants are cautioned to rely solely on the contents of this RFP and subsequent written amendments in preparing any list of qualifications. SCDOT shall not be bound by any oral instructions, comments, or recommendations of any kind.

RIGHT TO REJECT: SCDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to reject any and all RFP responses if SCDOT determines that such rejection is in the best interest of the State of South Carolina.

RIGHT TO CANCEL: SCDOT reserves the right to cancel the advertisement, negotiations, or contract at any time in the best interest of the State.

TERMS OF CONTRACT: The boilerplates terms for all SCDOT contracts are non-negotiable.

VALIDITY OF INFORMATION: Consultant shall be held responsible for the validity of all information supplied in its proposal, including that provided by potential subcontractors. Should subsequent investigation disclose that the facts and conditions were not as stated, the proposal may be rejected or

| contract terminated for default if after award, in addition to any other remedy available under the contract or by law. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

J. APPENDIX - REQUIRED FORMS

The following completed forms are required to be returned with each proposal:

- Certificate Of Non-Collusion
- Certification Of Primary Consultant Regarding Debarment, Suspension, And Other Responsibility Matters
- Certification Of Lower Tier Entities Regarding Debarment, Suspension, And Other Responsibility Matters
- Certification Of Restrictions On Lobbying
- Certification Of Consultant
- Disclosure Of Potential Conflict Of Interest Certification

CERTIFICATE OF NON-COLLUSION

Notary Public

By submission of proposal, each person signing on behalf of any consultant certifies, and in the case of a joint proposal, each party thereto certifies as to its own organization, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of knowledge and belief:

| 1) The proposal is submitted without collusion, consultation, communication, or agreement for the purpose of restricting competition, with any other bidder or with any competitor; |
|--|
| 2) No attempt has been made or will be made by the proposer to induce any other person, partnership or corporation to submit or not to submit a proposal for the purpose of restricting competition. |
| Company Name |
| Signature of Authorized Official |
| Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official |
| Date |
| SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED before me this day of, 201 |
| My commission expires |

CERTIFICATION OF PRIMARY CONSULTANT REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

| The prime consultant, | certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, |
|---|--|
| that it and its principals: | |
| 1) Are not presently debarred, suspended, propose covered transactions by any State or Federal depart | d for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from rtment or agency; |
| against them for commission of fraud or a crimin performing a public (Federal, State or Local) trans | this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered all offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or saction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal ezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of ceiving stolen property; |
| | ninally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State enumerated in paragraph (2) of this certification; and |
| 4) Have not within a three-year period precedin (Federal, State or Local) terminated for cause or de | g this application/proposal had one or more public transactions efault. |
| | means officers; directors; owners; partners; and, persons having as within a business entity (e.g., general manager, plant manager, and similar positions). |
| If the prime consultant is unable to certify to any explanation to this certification. | of the statements in this certification, the consultant shall attach an |
| | n with a review of the consultant's responsibility. Failure of the nested by the SCDOT may render the consultant non-responsive. |
| learns that its certification was erroneous whe circumstances. The certification is a material repraward. If it is later determined that the consultant | to the SCDOT if, at any time prior to contract award, Consultant in submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed resentation of fact upon which reliance was placed when making knowingly or in bad faith rendered an erroneous certification, in the SCDOT may terminate the contract resulting from this RFP for |
| The primary consultant, the contents of the statements submitted on or with Sections 3801 et seq, are applicable thereto. | certifies or affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of this certification and understands that the provisions of 31 U.S.C. |
| Company Name | |
| Signature of Authorized Official | |
| Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official | |
| Date | |

CERTIFICATION OF LOWER TIER ENTITIES REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

| The lower tier entity, | certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its |
|---|---|
| principals: | |
| 1) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proptransactions by any State or Federal department | osed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered or agency; |
| commission of fraud or a criminal offense in cont or Local) transaction or contract under a publi | this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for nection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State c transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of on or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property; |
| 3) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criticommission of any of the offenses enumerated in | minally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with a paragraph (2) of this certification; and |
| 4) Have not within a three-year period preceding Local) terminated for cause or default. | g this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or |
| | tion, means officers; directors; owners; partners; and, persons having primary in a business entity (e.g., general manager; plant manager; head of a subsidiary, ons). |
| | tion, means an entity regardless of tier, other than the prime consultant, that is a dealer, agent or representative in any transaction or performance of this contract |
| If the lower tier entity is unable to certify to any of to this certification. | f the statements in this certification, the lower tier entity shall attach an explanation |
| | on with a review of the prime consultant's responsibility. Failure of the lower tier e consultant as requested by the SCDOT may render the prime consultant non- |
| certification was erroneous when submitted or h material representation of fact upon which relianc | the to the SCDOT if, at any time prior to contract award, Consultant learns that this has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances. The certification is a see was placed when making award. If it is later determined that the prime consultant endered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the sulting from this RFP for default. |
| the statements submitted on or with this certification | certifies or affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the contents of cation and understands that the provisions of 31 U.S.C. Sections 3801 <i>et seq.</i> , s Act as specified in 32 U.S.C. 3729- 3733, and prosecution for making a false olicable thereto. |
| Company Name | |
| Signature of Authorized Official | <u></u> |
| Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Offi | cial |
| Date | |

CERTIFICATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON LOBBYING

The consultant certifies, to the best of its knowledge and belief, that:

- 1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of a Federal department or agency, a Member of the U.S. Congress, an officer or employee of the U.S. Congress, or an employee of a Member of the U.S. Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification thereof.
- 2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for making lobbying contacts to an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form--LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying", in accordance with its instructions (as amended by "Government wide Guidance for New Restrictions on Lobbying," 61 Fed. Reg. 1413 (1/19/96). Note: Language in paragraph (2) herein has been modified in accordance with Section 10 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-65, to be codified at 2 U.S.C. 1601, et seq.)).
- 3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-awards at all tiers (including subcontracts, sub-grants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all sub-recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by 31, U.S.C. § 1352 (as amended by the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995). Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

| CERTIFIES | OR | AFFIRMS | THE | TRUTHF | ULNESS | AND |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|---|--|---|
| ERTIFICATIO | ON AN | ND DISCLO | SURE | , IF ANY. | IN ADDI | TION, |
| EES THAT T | HE PI | ROVISIONS | S OF 3 | 1 U.S.C. § | § 3801 ET | SEQ. |
| OSURE, IF A | NY. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| I | ERTIFICATION EES THAT T | ERTIFICATION AN | ERTIFICATION AND DISCLO EES THAT THE PROVISIONS | ERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE EES THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 3 | ERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE, IF ANY. EES THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 31 U.S.C. § | CERTIFIES OR AFFIRMS THE TRUTHFULNESS ERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE, IF ANY. IN ADDIFES THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 31 U.S.C. §§ 3801 ETOSURE, IF ANY. |

Date

CERTIFICATION OF CONSULTANT

I hereby certify that I am the duly authorized representative of CONSULTANT and that neither I nor the above CONSULTANT I here represent has:

- a) employed or retained for a commission, percentage, brokerage, contingent fee, or other consideration, any firm or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for me or the above CONSULTANT) to solicit or secure this contract;
- b) agreed, as an express or implied condition for obtaining this contract, to employ or retain the services of any firm or person in connection with carrying out the contract, or
- c) paid, or agreed to pay, to any firm, organization or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for me or the above CONSULTANT) any fee, contribution, donation, or consideration of any kind for, or in connection with, procuring or carrying out the contract except as here expressly stated (if any);
- d) either directly or indirectly, entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion, or otherwise taken any action, in restraint of free competitive bidding in connection with the submitted proposal.

By execution of this Agreement, CONSULTANT certifies CONSULTANT and all sub-consultants, contractors, employees and agents will comply with South Carolina's Ethics, Government Accountability, and Campaign Reform Act of 1991, as amended. The following statutes require special attention: (a) Offering, giving, soliciting, or receiving anything of value to influence action of public employee - \\$8-13-790, \\$8-13-705, \\$8-13-720; (b) Recovery of kickbacks - \\$8-13-790, (c) Offering, soliciting, or receiving money for advice or assistance of public official - \\$8-13-720, (d) Use or disclosure of confidential information - \\$8-13-725, (e) Persons hired to assist in the preparation of specifications or evaluation of bids - \\$8-13-1150, (f) Solicitation of state employees - \\$8-13-755, \\$8-13-760 and \\$8-13-725. The state may rescind any contract and recover all amounts expended as a result of any action taken in violation of this provision.

I acknowledge that this certificate is to be furnished to the Department, the Federal Highway Administration, and the U. S. Department of Transportation, and is subject to applicable State and Federal laws, both criminal and civil.

| Company Name |
|---|
| 1 7 |
| |
| |
| Signature of Authorized Official |
| |
| |
| Drintad Evil I agal Nama of Authorized Official |
| Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official |
| |
| |
| Date |
| |

DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION

<u>CONFLICT OF INTEREST:</u> By submitting a proposal, proposer agrees that, if an organizational conflict of interest is discovered after the proposal is submitted, the proposer must make an immediate and full disclosure to SCDOT that includes a description of the action that the proposer has taken or proposes to take to avoid or mitigate such conflict. If after award of the contract an organizational conflict of interest is determined to exist, SCDOT may, at its discretion, cancel the contract. If the proposer was aware of an organizational conflict of interest prior to the award of the contract and did not disclose the conflict to SCDOT, then SCDOT may terminate the contract for default.

The Consultant by signing this disclosure, certifies it does not have any financial or other interest in the outcome of the project, that it has no agreement, enforceable promise, or guarantee with any individual or company to provide any work on the project, that it does not have any association or professional or business relationships with anyone who has a financial interest in the outcome of the project, nor does anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of the project, exercise any control over the consultant's pay, employment, bonuses, or other area subject to external influence.

The Consultant, by signing this disclosure, further certifies that it is in compliance with the CONFLICT OF INTEREST (SCDOT COMMISSION): Section 23 of Act 40 of 2017 [now codified as S. C. Code Section 57-1-350(G)] prohibits a member of the SCDOT Commission serving on July 1, 2017 (the effective date of the Act) or thereafter, from having an interest, direct or indirect, in any contract awarded by the department during the member's term of appointment and for one year after the termination of the appointment. Therefore, any proposal or bid submitted to SCDOT in violation of this law will be disqualified.

The Consultant, by signing this disclosure, further certifies that it is in compliance with the CURRENT AND FORMER SCDOT EMPLOYEES policy: To avoid the appearance of any real or perceived favoritism, unfair advantage, undue influence, or conflict of interest, a proposal will be disqualified that names, identifies, or includes in any way a current or former SCDOT employee serving in a management level position within 365 days of the submittal. No communication or appearance shall be made by such current or former employee with SCDOT on such proposal, or the proposal will be disqualified. In addition no current or former employee, who served in a management level position or above, may work on or invoice for services performed on a project within 365 days after their last day of employment with SCDOT. For the purposes of this bright line rule, "management level position" is defined as any SCDOT Pay Band 7 and above position, which includes, but is not limited to, Directors, Assistant Directors, District Engineering Administrators, District-level Engineers, Program Managers, Assistant Program Managers and Resident-level Engineers.

| Consu | tant hereby indicates that it has, to the best of its known | owledge and belief has: | |
|---------|---|--|---------|
| | Determined that no potential organizationa | conflict of interest exists. | |
| | Determined a potential organizational conf | lict of interest as follows: | |
| Attach | additional sheets as necessary. | | |
| 1. | Describe nature of the potential conflict(s): | | |
| 2. | Describe measures proposed to mitigate the poten | tial conflict(s): | |
| Signat | ure of Authorized Official | Date | |
| Print F | ull Legal Name of Authorized Official | Company Name | - |
| If a po | tential conflict has been identified, please provide n | ame and phone number for a contact person author | rized t |
| discuss | s this disclosure certification with Department of Tra | nsportation contract personnel. | |
| Name | | Phone | |
| Compa | any | | |